Abduljabbar and Abed

Iraqi Journal of Science, 2019, Vol.60, No.10, pp: 2196-2201 DOI: 10.24996/ijs.2019.60.10.13





ISSN: 0067-2904

The convergence of Iteration Scheme to Fixed Points in Modular Spaces

Meena Fouad Abduljabbar*, Salwa Salman Abed

Department of mathematics, College of Education for Pure Science, Ibn Al-Haitham, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study the convergence of an iteration scheme for multi-valued mappings which defined on a subset of a complete convex real modular. There are two main results, in the first result, we show that the convergence with respect to a multi-valued contraction mapping to a fixed point. And, in the second result, we deal with two different schemes for two multivalued mappings (one of them is a contraction and other has a fixed point) and then we show that the limit point of these two schemes is the same. Moreover, this limit will be the common fixed point the two mappings.

Key Words: Modular spaces, fixed points, multivalued mapping.

تقارب مخطط تكراري الى النقاط الثابتة في فضاءات الوحدات

مينة فؤاد عبد الجبار، سلوى سلمان عبد

قسم الرياضيات، كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة ابن الهيثم، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق

الخلاصة

ان الهدف من هذا البحث هو دراسة النقارب لمخطط تكراري للتطبيقات متعددة القيم المعرفة على مجموعة جزئية من فضاء وحدات حقيقي كامل ومحدب. تم تقديم نتيجتين, في الاولى تم البرهنة على تقارب بالاعتماد على تطبيق انكماشي متعدد القيم. اما في الثانية فقد تم التعامل مع مخططين تكرارية مختلفة لتطبيقين متعددة القيم (واحد منها انكماشي والاخر يمتلك نقطة صامدة) ثم البرهنة على ان المخططين تمتلكان نفس نقطة التقارب بالاضافة الى كونها نقطة صامدة مشتركة لكلا التطبيقين.

1-Introduction and Preliminaries

The notion of modular spaces was introduced by Nakano [1] in 1950 as a generalization of metric spaces and then redefined and modified by Musielak and Ortiz [2] in 1959. Many results about fixed points in these spaces were considered such as [3-6]. Further and the most complete development of these theories are due to Orlicz, Mazur, Musielak, Luxemburg, Turpin [7] and their collaborators. In the present time the theory of modular and modular spaces is extensively applied, in particular, in the study of various Orlicz spaces [8], which in their turn have broad applications[9]. Recently, Abed [10] defined the best approximation and proved results about proximinal set, Chebysev set and existence invariant best approximation. see also [11] Now, recall the following

Definition 1.1[3] Let M be a linear space over $F (= R \text{ or } \mathbb{C})$. A function $\gamma: M \to [0, \infty]$ is called modular if

(i) $\gamma(v) = 0$ if and only if v = 0;

(ii) $\gamma(\alpha v) = \alpha(v)$ for $\alpha \in F$ with $|\alpha| = 1$, for all $\alpha \in M$;

(iii) $\gamma(\alpha v + \beta u) \le \gamma(v) + \gamma(u)$ Iff $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$, for all $u, v \in M$.

^{*}Email: mena.momo1961@gmail.com

If (iii) replaced by

(iii) $\gamma(\alpha v + \beta u) \le \alpha \gamma(v) + \beta \gamma(u)$, for $\alpha, \beta \ge 0, \alpha + \beta = 1$, for all $v, u \in M$,

Then M_{γ} is called convex modular.

Definition 1.2 [3] A modular γ defines a corresponding modular space, *i. e.*, the spac M_{γ} given by $M_{\gamma} = \{v \in M : \gamma(\alpha v) \to 0 \text{ whenever } \alpha \to 0\}.$

Remark 1.1[4] By condition (iii) above, if u = 0 then $\gamma(\alpha v) = \gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \beta v\right) \leq \gamma(\beta v)$, for all α, β in F,

 $0 < \alpha < \beta$. This shows that γ is an increasing function.

Example [12]

The Orlicz modular is defined for every measurable real function f by the formula(1) $\gamma(f) = \int \varphi(|f(t)|) dm(t)$

Where *m* denotes the Lebesgue measure in *R* and $\varphi : R \to [0, \infty)$ is continuous in which $\varphi(u) = 0$ if and only if u = o and $\varphi(t) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. The modular space induced by the Orlicz modular γ_{φ} is called the Orlicz space L^{φ}

(2) The Musielak- Orlicz modular space . Let

 $\gamma(f) = \int \varphi(\omega, f(\omega)) d\mu(\omega),$

Where μ is a σ – finite measure on Ω , and $\varphi : \Omega \times R \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy the following :

(i) $\varphi(\omega, u)$ is a continuous even function of u which is nondecreasing for u > 0, Such that $\varphi(\omega, 0) = 0$, $\varphi(\omega, u) > 0$ for $u \neq 0$ and $\varphi(\omega, u) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$

(ii)
$$\varphi(\omega, u)$$
 is a measurable function of ω for each $u \in R$

Definition 1.3 [6] The γ -ball, $B_r(u)$ centered at $u \in M_{\gamma}$ with radius r > 0 as

 $B_r(u) = \{ \boldsymbol{v} \in M_{\gamma}; \gamma(u-v) < r \}.$

The class of all γ -balls in a modular space M_{γ} generates a topology which makes M_{γ} Hausdorff topological linear space. Every γ -ball is convex set, therefore every modular space is locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space [7].

Definition 1.5 [6] Let M_{γ} be a modular spase.

(a) A sequence $\{v_n\} \subset M_{\gamma}$ is said to be γ -convergent to $v \in M_{\gamma}$ and write $v_n \stackrel{\gamma}{\to} v$ if $\gamma(v_n - v) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

(**b**) A sequence $\{v_n\}$ is called γ -Cauchy whenever $\gamma(v_n - v_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

(c) M_{γ} is called γ - complete if any γ - Cauchy sequence in M_{γ} is γ - convergent.

(d) A subset $B \subset M_{\gamma}$ is called γ -closed if for any sequence $\{v_n\} \subset B$ is γ -convergent to a point in B

(e) A γ -closed subset $B \subset M_{\gamma}$ is called γ -compact if any sequence { v_n } $\subset B$ has a γ -convergent subsequence.

(f) A subset $B \subset M_{\gamma}$ is said to be γ -bounded if $daim_{\gamma}(B) < \infty$, where

$$daim_{\gamma}(B) = \sup\{\gamma(v-u); v, u \in B\}$$

is called the γ - diameter of *B*.

(g) The distance between $v \in M_{\gamma}$ and $B \subset M_{\gamma}$ is

$$\gamma(v-B) = \inf \{\gamma(v-u); u \in B\}.$$

Definition 1.6 Let M_{γ} be a modular space, and A, B are two non – empty subsets in $2^{M_{\gamma}}$. Let $H_{\gamma}(A, B)$ denotes Hausdorff distance of A and B that is defined as the following

 $H_{\gamma}(A,B) = max \{ sup_{a \in A} \gamma(a - B), sup_{b \in B} \gamma(b - A) \}.$

Lemma (1.1) Let M_{γ} be a modular space and let A_n and B_n real sequences in $CB(M_{\gamma})$ Then we can choose a_n in A_n , b_n in B_n such that

 $\gamma(a_n - b_n) = H_{\gamma}(A_n, B_n) + \varepsilon_n, \ \lim_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0 \quad \dots (1.1)$

Definition (1.7) [13] or [14] Let A be a non-empty set and $T: A \longrightarrow 2^A$ be a multi-valued mapping, the point $x \in A$ is said to be a fixed point of $T \Leftrightarrow x \in T(x)$. And x is a fixed point of a single-valued mapping T if and only if x = T(x)

Definition (1.8) [15] A mapping $T: A \rightarrow 2^{M_{\gamma}}$ such that

 $H_{\nu}(Tu, Tv) \leq K \gamma(u-v)$ for all $u, v \in A \dots (1.2)$

is said to be multi – valued Lipschitz if there exists k > 0 and multi – valued contraction (shortly, m.v.c) if k < 1.

The following lemmas are required in the next section.

Lemma (1.2): [15] Let $\{a_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \lambda_n) a_n + \sigma_n$ where $\lambda_n \in (0,1)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n$ and $\sigma_n = o(\lambda_n)$. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$.

Lemma (1.3): [16, 17] Let $\{a_n\} \subset R^+$ such that $a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \lambda_n) a_n + \lambda_n \varepsilon$

where $\lambda_n \in (0,1)$, for all $n \in N$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = \infty$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is fixed number. Then $0 \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup a_n \le \varepsilon$.

exists a $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$, such that

if
$$\gamma(v) = \gamma(u) = 1$$
 and $(v - u) \ge \varepsilon$, then $\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(v + u)\right) \le 1 - \delta$.

2. Main Results

Let A be a non-empty subset of M_{γ} , and $T: A \longrightarrow 2^{A} u_{0} \in A$. If the sequence $\{u_{n}\} \subset A$ is defined by

$$u_{n+1} \in (1 - a_n)u_n + a_n T v_n$$

$$v_n \in (1 - \beta_n) u_n + \beta_n T u_n, \forall n \ge 0$$

or

$$\dots \dots (1.3)$$

 $\begin{aligned} u_{n+1} &= (1 - a_n)u_n + a_n\mu_n , & \mu_n \in Tv_n , \forall n \ge 0 \\ v_n &= (1 - \beta_n)u_n + \beta_n\xi_n , \quad \xi_n \in Tu_n, \forall n \ge 0 & \dots(1.4) \end{aligned}$

Two convergence results for iteration (1.4) are established dealing with contraction. We start with the following needed lemma:-

Theorem (2.2) : Let M_{γ} be a complete modular space and $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq M_{\gamma} A$ is a nonempty closed. If $T : A \rightarrow CB(A)$ is *m.v.c* mapping, then T has a fixed in A

Proof

Select $u_0 \in A$ and $u_1 \in T(u_0)$ By Lemma (1.1) there must exist $u_2 \in T(u_1)$ such that $\gamma(u_1 - u_2) \leq H_{\gamma}(T(u_0), T(u_1)) + K$ similarly there exists $u_1 \in T(u_1)$ such that

similary, there exists $u_3 \in T(u_2)$ such that

$$\gamma (u_2 - u_3) \le H_{\gamma} (T(u_1), T(u_2)) + K^2$$

By induction, there is the sequence $\{u_n\}$ in A such that $\forall i, \in N, u_{i+1} \in T(u_i)$ and $\gamma(u_i - u_{i+1}) \leq k^i d(u_0, u_1) + i k^i$

Therefore,

 $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma \left(u_i - u_{i+1} \right) \le \gamma \left(u_0 - u_1 \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} k^i \right) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i k^i$

This prove that $\{u_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence. so, since A is complete there exist $u \in A$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} u_n = u$. Also, the continuity of T lied to $\lim_{n\to\infty} H_{\gamma}(T(u_n), T(u)) = 0$ Since $u_n \in T(u_{n-1})$, then

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} dis t(u_n, T(u)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} inf \{\gamma (u_n - v) : v \in T(u)\} = 0$ This implies that

 $dist(u, T(u)) = inf \{\gamma(u - v) : v \in T(u)\} = 0$ And, the closeness of T(u), it must be the case that $u \in T(u)$

Theorem (2.3) Let M_{γ} be a complete convex real modular space, let $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq M_{\gamma}$ and A be convex and closed subset of M_{γ} and T: A \longrightarrow CB(A) be a *m.v.c* mapping. Let $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subseteq (0,1)$ satisfying:

(i) $0 < \alpha_n$, $\beta_n < 1$

(*ii*) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$

then for $u_0 \in A$, the sequence $\{u_n\}$ in (1.4) converges to a fixed point of *T*. **Proof:**

The existence of the fixed point follows from the Theorem (2.2). Let $p \in A$ be a fixed point of *T*. By conditions (1.4), (1.1) and (1.2), we get

$$u_{n+1} - p = (1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n \mu_n - p \quad \text{where } \mu_n \in Tv_n \\ \gamma (u_{n+1} - p) = \gamma ((1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n \mu_n - ((1 - \alpha_n)p + \alpha_n p)) \\ = \gamma ((1 - \alpha_n)(u_n - p) + \alpha_n (\mu_n - p)) \\ \le (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma (u_n - p) + \alpha_n \gamma (\mu_n - p)$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma (u_n - p) + \alpha_n k(1 - \beta_n) \gamma (u_n - p) + \alpha_n k \beta_n H_{\gamma} (T \qquad u_n, Tp) + \alpha_n \varepsilon_n + \alpha_n k \beta_n \varepsilon_n$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma (u_n - p) + \alpha_n k (1 - \beta_n) \gamma (u_n - p) + \alpha_n k^2 \beta_n \gamma (u_n - p) + \alpha_n \varepsilon_n + \alpha_n k \beta_n \varepsilon_n$$

$$\leq ((1 - \alpha_n) + \alpha_n k) \gamma (u_n - p) + \alpha_n \varepsilon_n + \alpha_n k \beta_n \varepsilon_n$$

Thus,

 $\gamma (u_{n+1} - p) = ((1 - \alpha_n (1 - k))p (u_n - p) + 0 (\alpha_n))$ Let us denote $a_n = \gamma (u_n - p)$

 $\lambda_n = \alpha_n (1-k) \in (0,1)$ $\forall n \ge 0$ And using lemma (1.2), we obtain $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$. which implies that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma(u_n - p) = 0$, thus $\lim_{n\to\infty} u_n = p$

Theorem (2.4): Let M_{γ} be a complete convex real modular space, let $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq M_{\gamma}$ and A be a convex and closed subset of M_{γ} . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a fixed number and $T, S : A \longrightarrow C(A)$ be two multivalued mappings. If S is a *m.v.c* mapping and $\lim_{n\to\infty} w_n = p$, where *p* is a fixed point of *T*, for any given $w_0 \in A$, the sequence $\{w_n\}$ in (1.4) with $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ satisfying

- (i) $0 < \alpha_n$, $\beta_n < 1$
- (*ii*) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$

and if $H(T z, S z) \leq \epsilon$, for all $z \in A$, then p is a common fixed point of T and S.

Proof:

The existence of the fixed point q of S follows from the Theorem (2.2). For the mapping S from (1.4) be

 $u_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n) u_n + \alpha_n \theta_n$, where $\theta_n \in Sv_n$, $\forall n \ge 0$ $v_n = (1 - \beta_n) u_n + \beta_n \xi_n$, where $\xi_n \in Su_n$, $\forall n \ge 0$ So, from conditions (1.1) and (1.2), we get where $\theta_n \in Sv_n$ $w_{n+1} - u_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n) (w_n - u_n) + \alpha_n (\mu_n - \theta_n),$ $\gamma(w_{n+1} - u_{n+1}) = \gamma ((1 - \alpha_n) (w_n - u_n) + \alpha_n (\mu_n - \theta_n))$ $\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma(w_n - u_n) + \alpha_n \gamma(\mu_n - \theta_n)$ $= (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma(w_n - u_n) + \alpha_n \gamma (\mu_n - \varpi_n + \varpi_n - \theta_n), \quad where \ \varpi_n \in Sg_n$ $\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma(w_n - u_n) + \alpha_n \gamma (\mu_n - \omega_n) + \alpha_n \gamma (\omega_n - \theta_n)$ $\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma(w_n - u_n) + \alpha_n H_{\gamma} (Tg_n , Sg_n) + \alpha_n H_{\gamma} (Sg_n , Sv_n) + \alpha_n b_n + \alpha_n d_n$ $= (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma(w_n - u_n) + \alpha_n \varepsilon + \alpha_n k \gamma(g_n - v_n) + \alpha_n b_n + \alpha_n d_n$ $= (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma(w_n - u_n) + \alpha_n \varepsilon + \alpha_n k \gamma ((1 - \beta_n) w_n + \beta_n \xi_n - (1 - \beta_n) u_n + \beta_n \zeta_n) + \alpha_n b_n + \alpha_n b_n$ $\alpha_n d_n$ where $\xi_n \in Tw_n$, $\zeta_n \in Su_n$ $= (1 - \alpha_n) \quad \gamma(w_n - u_n) + \alpha_n \varepsilon + \alpha_n k \gamma ((1 - \beta_n) (w_n - u_n) + \beta_n (\xi_n - \zeta_n) + \alpha_n b_n + \alpha_n b_$ $\alpha_n d_n$ $\gamma(w_n - u_n) + \alpha_n \varepsilon + \alpha_n k(1 - \beta_n) \gamma(w_n - u_n) +$ $\gamma(w_{n+1} - u_{n+1}) \le (1 - \alpha_n)$ $\alpha_n k\beta_n \gamma(\xi_n - \zeta_n) + \alpha_n b_n + \alpha_n d_n$ $= (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma(w_n - u_n) + \alpha_n \varepsilon + \alpha_n k(1 - \beta_n) \gamma(w_n - u_n) + \alpha_n k\beta_n \gamma (\xi_n - e_n + e_n - \xi_n) \gamma(w_n - u_n) + \alpha_n k\beta_n \gamma (\xi_n - e_n + e_n - \xi_n) \gamma(w_n - u_n) + \alpha_n k\beta_n \gamma (\xi_n - e_n + e_n - \xi_n) \gamma(w_n - u_n) \gamma(w_n - u_n$ ζ_n) + $\alpha_n b_n$ + $\alpha_n d_n$, where $e_n \in S w_n$ $\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma(w_n - u_n) + \alpha_n \varepsilon + \alpha_n k(1 - \beta_n) \gamma(w_n - u_n) + \alpha_n k\beta_n \gamma$

 $(\xi_n - e_n) + \alpha_n \, k \beta_n \, \gamma(e_n - \zeta_n) \, + \, \alpha_n \, b_n + \, \alpha_n \, d_n$ $\gamma(w_{n+1} - u_{n+1}) \le (1 - \alpha_n)$ $\gamma(w_n - u_n) + \alpha_n \varepsilon + \alpha_n k(1 - \beta_n) \gamma(w_n - u_n) +$ $\alpha_n k\beta_n H_{\gamma}(T_{w_n}, S_{w_n}) + \alpha_n k\beta_n H_{\gamma}(S_{w_n}, S_{w_n}) + \alpha_n b_n + \alpha_n d_n + \alpha_n k\beta_n c_n + \alpha_n k\beta_n f_n$ $\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma(w_n - u_n) + \alpha_n \varepsilon + \alpha_n k(1 - \beta_n) \gamma(w_n - u_n) + \alpha_n k\beta_n \varepsilon + \alpha_n k^2 \beta_n \gamma(w_n - u_n)$ u_n) + $\alpha_n b_n$ + $\alpha_n d_n$ + $\alpha_n k\beta_n c_n$ + $\alpha_n k\beta_n f_n$ $\leq ((1-\alpha_n) + \alpha_n k (1-\beta_n) + \alpha_n k^2 \beta_n) \gamma(w_n - u_n) + (1+k\beta_n) \alpha_n \varepsilon + \alpha_n b_n + \alpha_n d_n +$ $\alpha_n k\beta_n c_n + \alpha_n k\beta_n f_n$ $\leq ((1 - \alpha_n) + \alpha_n k (1 - \beta_n) + \alpha_n k \beta_n) \gamma (w_n - u_n) + 2 \alpha_n \varepsilon + \alpha_n b_n + \alpha_n d_n + \alpha_n k \beta_n c_n + \alpha_$ $\alpha_n k\beta_n f_n$ Thus, $\gamma(w_{n+1} - u_{n+1}) \le ((1 - \alpha_n(1 - k))\gamma(w_n - u_n) + 2\alpha_n\varepsilon + \alpha_nb_n + \alpha_nd_n + \alpha_nk\beta_nc_n + \alpha_nk_nc_n)$ $\alpha_n k\beta_n f_n$ Finally $\alpha_n b_n + \alpha_n d_n + \alpha_n k \beta_n c_n + \alpha_n k \beta_n f_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ *Let us denote* $a_n = \gamma (w_n - u_n), \lambda_n = \alpha_n (1-k) \in (0,1) ; \forall n \ge 0$ and from Lemma (1.3) it follows that $0 \leq \limsup a_n \leq \varepsilon$ $n {
ightarrow} \infty$ Since ε is arbitrary $\lim_{n\to\infty} supa_n = 0$ and so $\lim a_n = 0$, which implies that, and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma(x_n - u_n) = 0 \text{ , and } \lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma(x_n - u_n) \stackrel{n \to \infty}{=} \gamma(p - q) \text{ so } \gamma(p - q) = 0 \text{, hence } p = q.$ **Corollary (1):** Consider we have M_{ν} , A and T as in Theorem (2.2). For any $u_0 \in A$, $u_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)u_n + (1 - \alpha_n)u$

 $\alpha_n v_n, v_n \in Tu_n, n \ge 0$ and $\{\alpha_n\} \subset R^+$ satisfying: (i) $0 < \alpha_n < 1$

 $(ii)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n = \infty$

the iteration sequence $\{u_n\}$ converges to a fixed point of T.

Proof: Follows from Theorem (2.3) with $\beta_n = 0$. $n \ge 0$

By the proof of Theorem (2.2), we have that the iteration sequence $u_{n+1} = Tu_n$ converges to a fixed point of a *m*. *v*. *c*. mapping *T*.

Corollary (2):

Let M_{γ} , A and T as in Theorem (2.3). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a fixed number. If $T, S \colon M \longrightarrow C(M)$ are two m. v. c. mappings, $\{u_n\}$ defined by condition (1.4) with $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ satisfying

(i) $0 < \alpha_n, \beta_n < 1$

(*ii*) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$

and if H_{γ} (*T* z, *S* z) for all $z \in M$, then p is a common fixed point of T and S. *Proof:*

Since *T*, *S* are *m*. *v*. *c*. mappings then *T*, *S* have a fixed point *p*, *q*, and by Theorem (2.3) the iteration $\{u_n\}$ in condition (1.4) converges to *p* and then by Theorem (2.4) $\gamma(p-q) = 0$

Hence

p = q

So, p is a common fixed point of T and S. \blacksquare

References

- 1. Nakano, H. 1950. "*Modular semi-ordered linear spaces*", in: Tokyo Math. Book Ser., Vol. 1, Maruzen Co., Tokyo.
- 2. Musielak, J. and Ortiz, W. 1959. " on modular spaces", Studia Math, 18: 49-65.A
- **3.** Abbas, M. S.H. Khan and Razani A. **2011.** "*Fixed point theorems of expansive type mappings in modular function spaces*", *Fixed Point Theory*, **12**(2): 235–240

- 4. Kuaket, K. Kumam, P. 2011. " Fixed points of asymptotic point wise contractions in modular spaces "Appl. Math. Let. 24: 1795-1798
- 5. Kozlowski, WM. 2012. " Advancements in fixed point theory in modular function spaces ", Arab J. Mat. 1: 477–494
- 6. Chen, R. Wang, X. 2013. "Fixed point of nonlinear contractions in modular spaces", J. of Ineq. And Appl. 2013.
- 7. Chistyakov, V. V. 2010. "Modular metric spaces", I: Basic concepts, Nonlinear Analysis, 72: 1–14
- 8. Kumam, P. 2004. Fixed point theorems for nonexpansive Mappings in modular spaces , Archivum mathematicum (Brno), Tomus, 40: 345 353
- 9. Rao, M.M. Ren, Z.D. 2004. "*Applications of Orlicz Spaces*", Pure Appl. Math., vol. 250, Marcel Dekker,
- **10.** Abed, S.S. **2017.** "On invariant best approximation in modular spaces", Glob. J. of Pure and Appl. Math., **13**(9): 5227-5233
- 11. Abed, S. S. Mohammed, N. J. 2018. 'Best Approximation in Modular Spaces By Type of Nonexpansive Maps", Iraqi Journal of Science, 59(3B): 1450-1452
- **12.** Khamsi , M . A. **1996.** "*Fixed point theory in modular function spacesm*", Recent Advances on Metric Fixed point Theory, Universidad de sivilla, **8**: 31 58
- **13.** Zeidler, E. **1986.** "*Non linear function analysis and application*" I. Fixed point theorems, Springer Verlage, New York
- 14. Rhoades, B. E. and Stefan, M.S. 2003. "The Equivalence between the convergences of Ishikawa and mann iteration for an Asymptotically Pseudo Contractive map", J. Math. Appl. 283: 681–688.
- 15. Smart, D. R. 1974. "Fixed point theorems", Cambridge University, New York
- **16.** Park, J. Y and Jeong, J. U. **1996**. "Ishikawa and Mann Iteration Methods for Strongly Accretive Operators", Comm. Korean Math, **13**(4): 765 773.
- **17.** Abed, S. S. and AbdulSada, K. E. **2018.** "*Approximatively Compactness and Best Approximation in Modular Spaces*". Accepted in Conf. of Nahrain University