



ISSN: 0067-2904

Fekete Szegő Problem for a Subclass of Analytic Function Involving Quasi Subordination

Noor Fouad Saray*, Abdul Rahman S. Juma

Department of Mathematics; College of Education for Pure Sciences; University of Anbar; Anbar; Iraq

Received: 6/12/2023

Accepted: 9/6/2024

Published: 30/5/2025

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate a particular subclass of the set of analytic functions in a unit disk A . This subclass is identified by quasi-subordination, and for functions in this class, we give precise bounds for the Fekete-Szegő functional (that is, $|c_3 - \delta c_2^2|$). Well-defined borders are produced by the study, and we also discuss various outcomes for new classes while establishing connections with the ones that already exist.

Keywords: Analytic functions, Majorization, Quasi- subordination, Fekete-Szegő inequality.

مشكلة Fekete-Szegő لفئة فرعية من الدالة التحليلية التي تنطوي على شبه التبعية

نور فؤاد سراي* , عبد الرحمن سلمان جمعة

قسم الرياضيات. كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة؛ جامعة الانبار؛ الانبار؛ العراق

الخلاصة

في هذه المقالة، نستكشف فئة فرعية معينة من مجموعة الدوال التحليلية في قرص الوحدة A . يتم تحديد هذه الفئة الفرعية عن طريق شبه التبعية، ونحن نقدم حدودًا دقيقة للدالة (أي Fekete-Szegő $|c_3 - \delta c_2^2|$) للدوال في هذه الفئة. أنتجت الدراسة نطاقًا محددًا بشكل جيد، وتحدثت أيضًا عن نتائج مختلفة لفئات جديدة مع إقامة روابط مع تلك الموجودة بالفعل.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} represent a collection of normalized functions of the form

$$f(w) = w + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k w^k, \quad (1.1)$$

which is analytic in $\mathfrak{U} = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < 1\}$. Let \mathcal{H} be the set of all elements in \mathcal{A} that are univalent in \mathfrak{U} . Let $h(w)$ be an analytic function in \mathfrak{U} with $|h(w)| \leq 1$, $w \in \mathfrak{U}$, so

$$h(w) = \mathfrak{N}_0 + \mathfrak{N}_1 w + \mathfrak{N}_2 w^2 + \dots, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\mathfrak{N}_0, \mathfrak{N}_1, \mathfrak{N}_2, \dots$ are umbers. Let $\kappa(w)$ be an analytic function in \mathfrak{U} , with $\kappa(0) = 1$, $\kappa'(0) > 0$, and a positive real component, such

* Email: noo22u2005@uoanbar.edu.iq

$$\kappa(w) = 1 + \mathcal{F}_1 w + \mathcal{F}_2 w^2 + \dots, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_3, \dots$ are real numbers and $\mathcal{F}_1 > 0$. Unless otherwise specified, we will assume that the functions \mathcal{h} satisfy the preceding constraints throughout this study.

As has been determined that for $f \in \mathcal{H}$ given by (1.1), there exists sharp upper limits for $|c_{3-\delta} c_2|^2$ when δ is real [1]. Consequently, the Fekete- Szegő issue for \mathcal{F} has been well-known for estimating the sharp upper limits for $|c_{3-\delta} c_2|^2$ with δ being an arbitrary real or complex integer for any compact collection \mathcal{F} of elements in \mathcal{A} . Several scholars have computed sharp Fekete-Szegő limits for various \mathcal{H} subclasses, including [2-11]. More information on the Fekete-Szegő issue and the q -derived operator may be found in the publications of Alsoboh and Darus [12] and Elhaddad and Darus [13]. The study by Srivastava et al. [14] has a very good resource on the Fekete-Szegő inequality and the Horadam polynomials.

We review the majorization and subordination principles between the two analytic functions in \mathcal{A} , $f(w)$ and $r(w)$. If an analytic function $t(w)$ exists in \mathcal{A} , with $t(0) = 0$ and $|t(w)| < 1$, $w \in \mathcal{A}$, such that $f(w) = r(t(w))$, then $f(w)$ is subordinate to $r(w)$, written $f(w) \prec r(w)$, $w \in \mathcal{A}$. Furthermore, if r is univalent in \mathcal{A} , then $f(w) \prec r(w)$ is equivalent to $f(0) = r(0)$ and $f(\mathcal{A}) \subset r(\mathcal{A})$, if r is univalent in \mathcal{A} . We know that $f(w)$ is majorized by $r(w)$, written $f(w) \ll r(w)$, $w \in \mathcal{A}$, if there exists an analytic function $\mathcal{h}(w)$, $w \in \mathcal{A}$, with $|\mathcal{h}(w)| \leq 1$, such that $f(w) = \mathcal{h}(w)r(w)$, $w \in \mathcal{A}$.

Robertson [15] introduced a novel concept that unifies majorization and subordination: quasi-subordination. For any two $f(w)$ and $r(w)$ analytic functions is namely quasi subordinate to $r(w)$, written as $f(w) \prec_q r(w)$, $w \in \mathcal{A}$, if there is analytic functions \mathcal{h} and t with $t(0) = 0$, $|\mathcal{h}(w)| \leq 1$ and $|t(w)| < 1$ such that $f(w) = \mathcal{h}(w)r(t(w))$, $w \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that if $\mathcal{h}(w) = 1$, then $f(w) = r(t(w))$, $w \in \mathcal{A}$, so $f(w) \prec r(w)$ in \mathcal{A} . Also, note that if $t(w) = w$, then $f(w) = \mathcal{h}(w)r(w)$, $w \in \mathcal{A}$ and hence $f(w) \ll r(w)$ in \mathcal{A} . More research on quasi-subordination may be found in [16-19].

Let \mathcal{Y} be the collection of analytic functions in \mathcal{A} of the form

$$t(w) = t_1 w + t_2 w^2 + t_3 w^3 + \dots, \quad (1.4)$$

fulfilling the criterion $|t(w)| < 1$, $w \in \mathcal{A}$. To establish our primary result, we need the following lemma [20].

Lemma 1.1. If $t \in \mathcal{Y}$, then for any complex number δ , we have $|t_1| \leq 1$, $|t_2 - \delta t_1^2| \leq 1 + (|\delta| - 1)|t_1|^2 \leq \max\{1, |\delta|\}$. $t(w) = w$ or $t(w) = |w|^2$ exhibit the sharpness of the result.

In light of current trends in quasi-subordination, we define the next new collection subclasses \mathcal{A} .

Definition 1.2. A function $f(w)$ in \mathcal{A} is said to be in the collection $\mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \kappa)$. If

$$\left(\frac{w f'(w)}{f(w)} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{f(w)}{w} \right)^\beta + \gamma (f'(w) - 1) \prec_q (\kappa(w) - 1), w \in \mathcal{A}.$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$.

Remark 1.3. A function $f(w)$ in \mathcal{A} is said to be in the collection $\mathcal{G}(\alpha, \gamma, \kappa)$. If

$$\left(\frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)}\right)^\alpha + \gamma(f'(w) - 1) \prec_q (\kappa(w) - 1), w \in \mathfrak{U}.$$

where $\alpha > 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$.

Remark 1.4. A function $f(w)$ in \mathcal{A} is said to be in the collection $\mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta, \kappa)$. If

$$\left(\frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{f(w)}{w}\right)^\beta \prec_q (\kappa(w) - 1), w \in \mathfrak{U}.$$

where $\alpha > 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$.

Remark 1.5. A function $f(w)$ in \mathcal{A} is said to be in the collection $\mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta, 1, \kappa)$. If

$$\left(\frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{f(w)}{w}\right)^\beta + (f'(w) - 1) \prec_q (\kappa(w) - 1), w \in \mathfrak{U}.$$

where $\alpha > 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$.

Numerous interesting implications of this outcome are highlighted.

2 Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let $\alpha > 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. If $f(w) \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \kappa)$, then

$$|c_2| \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + \alpha + 2\gamma)}, \quad (2.1)$$

and for any complex number $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|c_3 - \delta c_2^2| \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)} \max\left(1, \left|T\mathcal{F}_1 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{\mathcal{F}_1}\right|\right), \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$T = \left(\frac{\delta(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)}{\left(\alpha(\beta + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 - 3))\right)^2} - \frac{1}{\alpha(\beta + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 - 3))} \right). \quad (2.3)$$

The result is sharp

Proof.

Let $f \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \kappa)$. Then, an analytic function $h(w)$ and a Schwarz function $t(w)$ exists such that

$$\left(\frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{f(w)}{w}\right)^\beta + \gamma(f'(w) - 1) = \kappa(w)(\kappa(t(w)) - 1), w \in \mathfrak{U}. \quad (2.4)$$

Sequential derivatives of f and their series expansions from (1.1) yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{f(w)}{w}\right)^\beta + \gamma(f'(w) - 1) \\ &= (\beta + \alpha + 2\gamma)c_2w + (\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)c_3w^2 + \alpha(\beta + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 - 3))c_2^2w^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

We get the same result from (1.2), (1.3), and (1.4).

$$\kappa(w)(\kappa(t(w)) - 1) = \mathfrak{N}_0\mathcal{F}_1t_1w + [\mathfrak{N}_1\mathcal{F}_1t_1 + \mathfrak{N}_0(\mathcal{F}_1t_2 + (\mathcal{F}_2t_1^2)w^2 + \dots] \quad (2.6)$$

Using (2.5) and (2.6) in (2.4), we obtain

$$c_2 = \frac{\mathfrak{N}_0 \mathcal{F}_1 t_1}{(\beta + \alpha + 2\gamma)}, \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$c_3 = \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)} \left[\mathfrak{N}_1 t_1 + \mathfrak{N}_0 \left\{ t_2 + \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + \alpha + 2\gamma)} + \frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{\mathcal{F}_1} \right) t_1^2 \right\} \right]. \quad (2.8)$$

Thus, for $\in \mathbb{C}$, we get

$$c_3 - \delta c_2^2 = \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)} \left[\mathfrak{N}_1 t_1 + \left(t_2 + \frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{\mathcal{F}_1} t_1^2 \right) + \mathfrak{N}_0 - T \mathfrak{N}_1 \mathfrak{N}_0^2 t_1^2 \right]. \quad (2.9)$$

where T is defined in (2.3).

Since $\mathfrak{h}(\omega)$ is analytic and bounded by one in \mathfrak{A} , we now have by [13]

$$|\mathfrak{N}_0| \leq 1 \text{ and } \mathfrak{N}_1 = (1 - \mathfrak{N}_0^2)x \quad x \leq 1. \quad (2.10)$$

The statement (2.1) comes from (2.7) using (2.10) and Lemma 1.1, and we gain (2.9) and (2.10) from (2.9) and (2.10).

$$c_3 - \delta c_2^2 = \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)} \left[x t_1 + \left(t_2 + \frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{\mathcal{F}_1} t_1^2 \right) + \mathfrak{N}_0 - (T \mathcal{F}_1 t_1^2 + x t_1 \mathfrak{N}_0^2) \right]. \quad (2.11)$$

If $R_0 = 0$, then (2.11) yields

$$|c_3 - \delta c_2^2| = \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)}. \quad (2.12)$$

In contrast, if $\mathfrak{N}_0 \neq 0$, we establish a function.

$$L\mathfrak{N}_0 = x t_1 + \left(t_2 + \frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{\mathcal{F}_1} t_1^2 \right) + \mathfrak{N}_0 - (T \mathcal{F}_1 t_1^2 + x t_1 \mathfrak{N}_0^2). \quad (2.13)$$

Notice, (2.13) is a quadratic in \mathfrak{N}_0 and so analytic in $|\mathfrak{N}_0| \leq 1$. And $|L(\mathfrak{N}_0)|$ clearly reaches its greatest value at $\mathfrak{N}_0 = e^{i\theta}$, $0 \leq |\theta| \leq 2\pi$. Thus

$$\max |L(\mathfrak{N}_0)| = \max_{0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi} |L(e^{i\theta})| = |L(1)| = \left| t_2 - \left(\mathcal{F}_1 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{\mathcal{F}_1} \right) t_1^2 \right|.$$

Therefore, it follows from (2.11) that

$$|c_3 - \delta c_2^2| = \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)} \left| t_2 - \left(T \mathcal{F}_1 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{\mathcal{F}_1} \right) t_1^2 \right|. \quad (2.14)$$

We get this from Lemma 1.1.

$$|c_3 - \delta c_2^2| = \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)} \max \left(1, \left| T \mathcal{F}_1 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{\mathcal{F}_1} \right| \right). \quad (2.15)$$

Now, based on (2.12) and (2.15), the statement (2.2) is implied. To demonstrate the sharpness, we define $f(\omega)$ as

$$\left(\left(\frac{\omega f'(\omega)}{f(\omega)} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{f(\omega)}{\omega} \right)^\beta + \gamma (f'(\omega) - 1) \right) = \kappa(\omega),$$

or

$$\left(\left(\frac{\omega f'(\omega)}{f(\omega)} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{f(\omega)}{\omega} \right)^\beta + \gamma (f'(\omega) - 1) \right) = \kappa(\omega^2),$$

or

$$\left(\left(\frac{\omega f'(\omega)}{f(\omega)} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{f(\omega)}{\omega} \right)^\beta + \gamma (f'(\omega) - 1) \right) = \omega (\kappa(\omega) - 1).$$

This complete the proof.

Corollary 2.2. Let $\alpha > 0$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. If $f(\omega) \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \gamma, \kappa)$, then

$$|c_2| \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\alpha + 2\gamma)}$$

and for any complex number $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|c_3 - \delta c_2^2| \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(2\alpha + 3\gamma)} \max \left(1, \left| T\mathcal{F}_1 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{\mathcal{F}_1} \right| \right).$$

where

$$T = \left(\frac{\delta(2\alpha + 3\gamma)}{\left(\alpha \left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 - 3) \right) \right)^2} - \frac{1}{\alpha \left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 - 3) \right)} \right).$$

Corollary 2.3. Let $\alpha > 0$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. If $f(w) \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta, \kappa)$, then

$$|c_2| \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + \alpha)}$$

and for any complex number $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|c_3 - \delta c_2^2| \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + 2\alpha)} \max \left(1, \left| T\mathcal{F}_1 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{\mathcal{F}_1} \right| \right). \quad \text{where}$$

$$T = \left(\frac{\delta(\beta + 2\alpha)}{\left(\alpha \left(\beta + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 - 3) \right) \right)^2} - \frac{1}{\alpha \left(\beta + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 - 3) \right)} \right).$$

Corollary 2.4. Let $\alpha > 0$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. If $f(w) \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta, 1, \kappa)$, then

$$|c_2| \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + \alpha + 2)}$$

and for any complex number $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|c_3 - \delta c_2^2| \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3)} \max \left(1, \left| T\mathcal{F}_1 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{\mathcal{F}_1} \right| \right),$$

where

$$T = \left(\frac{\delta(\beta + 2\alpha + 3)}{\left(\alpha \left(\beta + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 - 3) \right) \right)^2} - \frac{1}{\alpha \left(\beta + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 - 3) \right)} \right).$$

We base our next insightful finding on majorization.

Theorem 2.5. Let $\gamma > 0$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. If $f(w) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\left(\frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{f(w)}{w} \right)^\beta + \gamma(f'(w) - 1) \prec (\kappa(w) - 1), w \in \mathfrak{A}. \quad (2.16)$$

Then

$$|c_2| = \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + \alpha + 2\gamma)} \quad (2.17)$$

and for any complex number δ ,

$$|c_3 - \delta c_2^2| \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)} \max \left(1, \left| T\mathcal{F}_1 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{\mathcal{F}_1} \right| \right), \quad (2.18)$$

where T is as defined by (2.3).

Proof. Suppose that (2.16) holds. There is an analytic function $h(w)$, from the principle of majorization, such that

$$\left(\frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{f(w)}{w} \right)^\beta + \gamma(f'(w) - 1) = h(w)(\kappa(w) - 1), w \in \mathfrak{A}. \quad (2.19)$$

Putting $\omega(w) \equiv w$ (so that $t_1 = 1, t_N = 0, n \geq 2$), after completing the proof of Theorem 2.1, we arrive at the intended outcomes (2.17) and (2.18). To demonstrate the sharpness, we define $f(w)$ as

$$1 + \left(\frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{f(w)}{w} \right)^\beta + \gamma(f'(w) - 1) = (\kappa(w)), w \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

Which complete of the proof.

Our subsequent noteworthy outcome is connected to $\mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \kappa)$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $\alpha > 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. If $f(w) \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \kappa)$, then

$$|c_2| \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + \alpha + 2\gamma)}$$

and for any $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|c_3 - \delta c_2^2| \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)} \max \left(1, \left| T\mathcal{F}_1 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{\mathcal{F}_1} \right| \right),$$

where T is as stated in (2.3).

Proof. Let $(f \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \kappa))$. Taking $h(w) \equiv 1, w \in \mathfrak{A}$, we get $\mathfrak{N}_0 = 1, \mathfrak{N}_n = 0, n \in N$ and we get the intended outcomes by adhering to Theorem 2.1. To demonstrate the sharpness, we define $f(w)$ as

$$\left(\frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{f(w)}{w} \right)^\beta + \gamma(f'(w) - 1) = \kappa(w),$$

or

$$\left(\frac{wf'(w)}{f(w)} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{f(w)}{w} \right)^\beta + \gamma(f'(w) - 1) = \kappa(w^2),$$

which complete the proof.

For real δ , we now establish sharp constraints for $|c_3 - \delta c_2^2|$ for $f(w) \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \kappa)$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $\alpha > 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. If $f(w) \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \kappa)$, then for real δ , we have

$$|c_3 - \delta c_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)} \left[\mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{1}{(\beta + \alpha + 2\gamma)} - \frac{\delta(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)}{(\beta + \alpha + 2\gamma)^2} \right) + \frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{\mathcal{F}_1} \right] & (\delta \leq \tau_1) \\ \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)} & (\tau_1 \leq \delta \leq \tau_1 + 2u) \\ -\frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)} \left[\mathcal{F}_1 = \left(\frac{1}{(\beta + \alpha + 2\gamma)} - \frac{\delta(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)}{(\beta + \alpha + 2\gamma)^2} \right) + \frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{\mathcal{F}_1} \right] & (\delta \geq \tau_1 + 2u) \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

where

$$\tau_1 = \frac{(\beta + \alpha + 2\gamma)}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)} - \frac{(\beta + \alpha + 2\gamma)^2}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)} \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{F}_1} - \frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{\mathcal{F}_1^2} \right), \quad (2.21)$$

and

$$u = \frac{(\beta + \alpha + 2\gamma)^2}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)\mathcal{F}_1}. \quad (2.22)$$

Proof. Let our real values be δ . Then, in the following scenarios, (2.20) may be obtained from (2.2) and (2.3), respectively:

$$T\mathcal{F}_1 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{\mathcal{F}_1} \leq -1, -1 \leq \delta\mathcal{F}_1 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{\mathcal{F}_1} \leq 1 \text{ and } T\mathcal{F}_1 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_2}{\mathcal{F}_1} \geq 1.$$

Where T is defined in (2.3). We also like to mention the following:

- (i) Equality holds for $\delta < \tau_1$ or $\delta > \tau_1 + 2u \Leftrightarrow$ if $(w) \equiv 1$ and $t(w) = w$ or one of its rotations.
- (ii) Equality holds for $\tau_1 < \delta < \tau_1 + 2u \Leftrightarrow h(w) \equiv 1$ and $w(w) = w^2$ or one of its rotations.
- (iii) Equality holds for $\delta = \tau_1 \Leftrightarrow$ if $h(w) \equiv 1$ and $t(w) = \frac{w(w+\theta)}{1+\theta w}$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, or one of its rotation, while for $\delta = \tau_1 + 2u$, the equality holds if and only if $h(w) \equiv 1$ and $t(w) = -\frac{w(w+\theta)}{1+\theta w}$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, or one of its rotations.

The following actions can improve the second part of the assertion in (2.20) for the real value of δ even more:

Theorem 2.8. Let $\alpha > 0$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. If $f(w) \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \kappa)$, then for real δ , we have

$$|c_3 - \delta c_2^2| + (\delta - \tau_1)|c_2^2|^2 \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)} \quad (\tau_1 \leq \delta \leq \tau_1 + u), \quad (2.23)$$

and

$$|c_3 - \delta c_2^2| + (\tau_1 + 2u - \delta)|c_2^2|^2 \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)} \quad (\tau_1 + u \leq \delta \leq \tau_1 + 2u), \quad (2.24)$$

where τ_1 and u are given by (2.21) and (2.22), respectively.

Proof. Let $f(w) \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \kappa)$. For a real δ satisfying $\tau_1 \leq \delta \leq \tau_1 + u$ and using (2.7) and (2.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |c_3 - \delta c_2^2| + (\delta - \tau_1)|c_2^2|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)} \left[|t_2| - \frac{\mathcal{F}_1(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)}{(\beta + \alpha + 2\gamma)^2} (\delta - \tau_1 - u)|t_1|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\mathcal{F}_1(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)}{(\beta + \alpha + 2\gamma)^2} (\delta - \tau_1)|t_1|^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by using Lemma 1.1, we obtain

$$|c_3 - \delta c_2^2| + (\delta - \tau_1)|c_2^2|^2 \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)} [1 - |t_1|^2 + |t_1|^2]$$

which yields the assertion (2.23).

If $\tau_1 + u \leq \delta \leq \tau_1 + 2u$, then again from (2.7), (2.14) and Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |c_3 - \delta c_2^2| + (\tau_1 + 2u - \delta)|c_2^2|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)} \left[|t_2| - \frac{\mathcal{F}_1(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)}{(\beta + \alpha + 2\gamma)^2} (\tau_1 + 2u - \delta)|t_1|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\mathcal{F}_1(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)}{(\beta + \alpha + 2\gamma)^2} (\delta - \tau_1)|t_1|^2 \right] \leq \frac{\mathcal{F}_1}{(\beta + 2\alpha + 3\gamma)} [1 - |t_1|^2 + |t_1|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

which estimates (2.24).

3 Conclusions

In conclusions, our study of the Fekete-Szegő coefficient functional of the quasi-subordination class has illuminated the characteristics and actions of analytic functions inside the open unit disk. Through the use of quasi-subordination to construct a particular subclass, we have found sharp constraints on the Fekete-Szegő functional, concentrating on $|c_3 - \delta c_2^2|$ for functions in this subclass.

The results of the research not only advance knowledge of the particular quasi-subordination class but also have ramifications for more general classes and link to well-known

mathematical frameworks. The derived sharp limits improve our understanding of the characteristics and analysis of holomorphic functions, offering a more complex view of their behavior under quasi-subordination.

References

- [1] M. Fekete and G. Szegő, "Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte Funktionen," *Journal of the london mathematical society*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 85-89, 1933.
- [2] O. Ahuja and M. Jahangiri, "Fekete-Szegő problem for a unified class of analytic functions," *Panamerican Mathematical Journal*, vol. 7, pp. 67-78, 1997.
- [3] N. E. Cho and S. Owa, "ON THE FEKETE-SZEGŐ PROBLEM FOR STRONGLY α -LOGARITHMIC QUASICONVEX FUNCTIONS (Study on Differential Operators and Integral Operators in Univalent Function Theory)," *数理解析研究所講究録*, vol. 1341, pp. 1-11, 2003.
- [4] E. Deniz and H. Orhan, "The Fekete-Szegő problem for a generalized subclass of analytic functions," *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 37-47, 2010.
- [5] B. Kowalczyk, A. Lecko, and H. Srivastava, "A note on the Fekete-Szegő problem for close-to-convex functions with respect to convex functions," *Publications de l'Institut Mathématique*, vol. 101, no. 115, pp. 143-149, 2017.
- [6] M. Haji Mohd and M. Darus, "Fekete-Szegő problems for quasi-subordination classes," in *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2012, vol. 2012: Hindawi.
- [7] H. Srivastava, A. Mishra, and M. Das, "The fekete-szegő-problem for a subclass of close-to-convex functions," *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 145-163, 2001.
- [8] L. Taishun and X. Qinghua, "Fekete and Szegő inequality for a subclass of starlike mappings of order α on the bounded starlike circular domain in \mathbb{C}_n ," *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 722-731, 2017.
- [9] N. H. Shehab and A. R. S. Juma, "Application of Quasi Subordination Associated with Generalized Sakaguchi Type Functions," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, pp. 4885-4891, 2021.
- [10] S. Swamy, "Ruscheweyh derivative and a new generalized Multiplier differential operator," *Annals of pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 229-238, 2015.
- [11] Z. Nehari, "Conformal mapping," *Dover*, no. reprinting of the 1952 edition, New York (1975) 1975.
- [12] A. Alsoboh and M. Darus, "On Fekete-Szegő problem associated with q-derivative operator," in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2019, vol. 1212, no. 1: IOP Publishing, p. 012003.
- [13] S. Elhaddad and M. Darus, "On Fekete-Szegő problems for a certain subclass defined by q-analogue of Ruscheweyh operator," in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2019, vol. 1212, no. 1: IOP Publishing, p. 012002.
- [14] H. Srivastava, Ş. Altinkaya, and S. Yalçın, "Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with the Horadam polynomials," *Iranian journal of science and technology, transactions A: Science*, vol. 43, pp. 1873-1879, 2019.
- [15] M. S. Robertson, "Quasi-subordination and coefficient conjectures," 1970.
- [16] R. Bharavi Sharma and K. Rajya Laxmi, "Fekete–Szegő inequalities for some subclasses of bi-univalent functions through quasi-subordination," *Asian-European Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 12, no. 07, p. 2050006, 2019.
- [17] S. Kant and P. P. Vyas, "Sharp bounds of Fekete-Szegő functional for quasi-subordination class," *Acta Universitatis Sapientiae, Mathematica*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 87-98, 2019.
- [18] T. Panigrahi and R. Raina, "Fekete–Szegő coefficient functional for quasi-subordination class," *Afrika Matematika*, vol. 28, pp. 707-716, 2017.
- [19] F. Ren, S. Owa, and S. Fukui, "Some inequalities on quasi-subordinate functions," *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 317-324, 1991.
- [20] F. Keogh and E. Merkes, "A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 8-12, 1969.