



ISSN: 0067-2904

On Analytical Solutions of Operator Equation Constructed by The Adjointable Operator

Ihsan Abdulsattar Awadh*, Salim Dawood Mohsen

Department of mathematics/ College of Education/ AL-Mustansiriyah University/ Baghdad/ Iraq

Received: 13/11/2024

Accepted: 6/ 2/2025

Published: xx

Abstract

Recently, Operator Equation Theory (OET) has a leading demonstrated potentiality applicable in numerous scientific ranges of engineering, physical and mathematical. In a Hilbert C^* -module, OET has enhanced by expanding upon extensive research. In this study, for the general situation of adjointable operators, the solvability of the operator equation $\mathcal{P}^*X\Phi^* + \Phi Y\mathcal{P} = \Omega$, where X and Y are unknown operators, are investigated based on Moore-Penrose inverse. Necessary and sufficient conditions for founding a solution to this equation are proposed. Moreover, by utilizing matrix approaches, four general expressions for the solutions are derived depending on the states of the operators \mathcal{P} and Φ involved in the equation.

Keywords: Operator, Hilbert C^* -module, Operator equation, Invertibility, Adjoint operator, Self-adjoint, Moore-Penrose invers.

حول الحلول التحليلية لمعادلة المؤثر التي تم انشاؤها بواسطة المؤثر القابل للترافق

احسان عبد الستار عوض * ، سالم داود محسن

قسم الرياضيات، كلية التربية، الجامعة المستنصرية، بغداد، العراق

الخلاصة:

حيثما ثبتت نظرية معادلة المؤثر (OET) إمكانية تطبيقها في العديد من المجالات العلمية منها الهندسية والفيزيائية والرياضية. في وحدة هيلبرت- C^* -module ($Hilbert\ C^*\text{-module}$), تم تعزيز نظرية معادلة المؤثر من خلال التوسيع في البحث المكثف. في هذه الدراسة، بالنسبة للوضع العام للمؤثرات المترافق، يتم التحقيق في قابلية حل معادلة المؤثر $\mathcal{P}^*X\Phi^* + \Phi Y\mathcal{P} = \Omega$ بناءً على معكوس مور-بينروز (Moore-Penrose invers)، حيث X, Y مؤثرين مجهولين. وسوف يتم اقتراح الشروط الضرورية والكافية لوجود حل لهذه المعادلة، علاوة على ذلك وباستخدام تقنية المصفوفة يتم اشتقاق أربع تعبيرات عامة للحلول اعتماداً على حالات المؤثرين \mathcal{P}, Φ المتضمنين في المعادلة.

1. Introduction

The realm of Matrix Equations has intrinsic role in various domains of engineering and mathematics. In system theory, the matrix equation formulated by $\mathcal{P}X\mathcal{D} + F\mathcal{X}^T\Phi = \mathcal{C}$ has been vastly utilized, for instance, to eigen structure assignment [1, 2]. Following, the Φ revival

*Email: ahsan.123.amani1989@gmail.com

of Operator Equations on Hilbert space or Hilbert C*-module, which is the extended formula of M-Eqs. Indeed, the Hilbert C*-module is a nature generalized formula of C*-algebras and Hilbert space, was explored by Kaplansky [3] in 1953. The Operator Equations has contributed to algebraic theory, particularly in non-abelian geometry and quantum groups and KK-theory, see [4-9]. There are a variety of previous studies that paved the way or contributed to presenting this study. Interestingly, the mathematicians Baksalary and Kala [10] in 1979 provided the requisite and sufficient stipulations for the existence of a solution to equation $\mathcal{P}X - Y = \Omega$ and constructed the general formula of the solution. In 1998, the researcher Xu, Wei, and Zheng [11] presented the requisite and sufficient stipulations for the existence and uniqueness of the solution to equation $\mathcal{P}X\Phi + CYD = \Omega$. They investigated the general formula of the solution. Then, Wang, Zhang and Yu [12] in 2008 expanded attempts made investigating and deduced the requisite and sufficient stipulations for the existence of real and imaginary solutions to aforementioned equation. In 2009, Dehghan and Hajarian [13] deduced the reflexive solutions to the Matrix Equation $\mathcal{P}X\Phi + CYD = \Omega$ by providing the requisite and sufficient stipulations for the existence of these solutions. Subsequently, Karizaki and Djordjevic [14] in 2016 provided solutions to the Operator Equation $\mathcal{P}X\Phi - SYQ = \Omega$, on a Hilbert C*-module, $\text{ran}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{ran}(S)$ and $\text{ran}(\Phi^*) = \text{ran}(Q^*)$ are closed. For more information, see [15-26]. In this sequel, F and D are Hilbert modules over the same C*-algebra. Denote by $B(F, D)$ the set including the adjointable operators defined on F to D . For case $F = D$, $B(F, D)$ coincides with $B(F)$. $\mathcal{P}, \Phi, \Omega$ represents known operators. For $\mathcal{P} \in B(F, D)$, let $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{P})$ and $R(\mathcal{P})$ represent the zero-space and range, respectively.

The following several principles are required in this study.

Definition 1.1: [27, 28] Let F and D be vector spaces over the same field then the operator $\mathcal{P}: F \rightarrow D$ called invertibility if there exists an operator $\Phi: D \rightarrow F$ where $\mathcal{P}\Phi = \Phi\mathcal{P} = I$, where I is an identity operator.

Definition 1.2: [29, 31] Let F and D be Hilbert spaces and $\mathcal{P}: F \rightarrow D$ be a liner operator then the operator $\mathcal{P}^*: D \rightarrow F$ is called adjoint of the operator \mathcal{P} if $\langle \mathcal{P}x, y \rangle = \langle x, \mathcal{P}^*y \rangle$ for each $x \in F, y \in D$.

Definition 1.3: [32] An operator $\mathcal{P}: F \rightarrow D$ where F and D are Hilbert spaces called self-adjoint if $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}^*$.

Definition 1.4: [33, 34] Let $\mathcal{P} \in B(F, D)$, the range of \mathcal{P} , is denoted by $R(\mathcal{P})$ such that $R(\mathcal{P}) = \{\mathcal{P}x: x \in F\}$.

Definition 1.5: [35] Let $\mathcal{P} \in B(F, D)$, the kernel of \mathcal{P} , is denoted by $\text{ker}(\mathcal{P})$ such that $\text{ker}(\mathcal{P}) = \{x \in F: \mathcal{P}x = 0\}$.

Definition 1.6: [36] Let $\mathcal{P} \in B(F, D)$, the Moore-Penrose invers of \mathcal{P} indicated by \mathcal{P}^+ such that \mathcal{P}^+ is unique in $B(F, D)$ and fulfills:

$$\mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}, \quad \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^+ = \mathcal{P}^+, \quad (\mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^+)^* = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^+, \quad (\mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P})^* = \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}.$$

The existence of the Moore-Penrose bounded inverse of a continuous operator between two Hilbert C*-modules is guaranteed if and only if the operator has a closed range. For more about the properties and applications of Moore-Penrose invers, see [37, 38]. The operator $\mathcal{P} \in B(F, D)$ is called regular if there exists $\Phi \in B(D, F)$ such that $\mathcal{P}\Phi\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}$. It is clear that regular operators are almost regular and that regular operator have close range [39].

Theorem 1.7: [40] Let $\Phi \in B(F, D)$ and $\mathcal{P} \in B(Z, D)$ be invertibility operators and $\Omega \in B(D)$, F, D, Z be Hilbert C*-modules. Then the next assumptions are comparable: (i) There is a solution $X \in B(F, Z)$ to $\mathcal{P}X\Phi^* + \Phi X^*\mathcal{P}^* = \Omega$. (ii) Ω is self-adjoint. If (i) or (ii) are available, therefore any solution to $\mathcal{P}X\Phi^* + \Phi X^*\mathcal{P}^* = \Omega$. When $X \in B(F, Z)$ represents in the form $X = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{P}^{-1}\Omega(\Phi^*)^{-1} - \mathcal{P}^{-1}Z(\Phi^*)^{-1}$, where $Z \in B(D)$ satisfies $Z^* = -Z$.

For the case Φ is invertible and \mathcal{P} is regular, the following theorem gives an explicit solution to the equation $\mathcal{P}X\Phi^* + \Phi X^*\mathcal{P}^* = \Omega$.

Theorem 1.8: [40] Suppose $\Phi \in B(F, D)$ is an invertibility and $\mathcal{P} \in B(Z, D)$ is regular and $\Omega \in B(D)$, such that F, D, Z are Hilbert C^* -modules. After that, next claims are comparable: (i) There exists $X \in B(F, Z)$ is a solution to the operator equation $\mathcal{P}X\Phi^* + \Phi X^*\mathcal{P}^* = \Omega$. (ii) Ω is self-adjoint and $(I - \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^+)\Omega(I - \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^+) = 0$. If (i) or (ii) are available Thus, the solution to $\mathcal{P}X\Phi^* + \Phi X^*\mathcal{P}^* = \Omega$. It is written in order: $X = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{P}^+\Omega\mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^+(\Phi^*)^{-1} + \mathcal{P}^+Z\mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^+(\Phi^*)^{-1} + \mathcal{P}\Omega(1 - \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^+)(\Phi^*)^{-1} + (I - \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^+)Y(\Phi^*)^{-1}$, where $Z \in B(D)$ achieves $\mathcal{P}^*(Z + Z^*)\mathcal{P} = 0$ and $Y \in B(D, Z)$ is random.

For \mathcal{P} and Φ both close their regions, the next theorem studies the equation $\mathcal{P}X\Phi^* + \Phi X^*\mathcal{P}^* = \Omega$.

Theorem 1.9: [41] Let $\Phi, \Omega \in B(F)$, $\mathcal{P} \in B(D, F)$. So that Φ is self-adjoint and both \mathcal{P} and Φ possess regulars. Let F, D, Z be Hilbert C^* -modules, $\Omega\Phi^*\Phi = \Omega$ and $\mathcal{P}^*\Phi^*\Phi = \mathcal{P}^+$. The next claims are therefore comparable: (i) There is $X \in B(F, D)$ a solution to the operator equation $\mathcal{P}X\Phi^* + \Phi X^*\mathcal{P}^* = \Omega$. (ii) $\Omega = \Omega^*$ and $(I - \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^+)\Omega(I - \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^+) = 0$. If (i) or (ii) are available, thus the solution to $\mathcal{P}X\Phi^* + \Phi X^*\mathcal{P}^* = \Omega$. It is written in order: $X = \mathcal{P}^+\Omega\Phi^* - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{P}^+\Omega\mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^+\Phi^* + \mathcal{P}^+Z\mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^+\Phi^* + V - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}V\Phi\Phi^+$, where $Z \in B(F)$ achieves $\mathcal{P}^*(Z + Z^*)\mathcal{P} = 0$, $V \in B(F, D)$ is random.

2. Main results

This section investigates and provides four formulations for general solutions along with their prerequisites and conditions. Regarding the operator equation

$$\mathcal{P}^*X\Phi^* + \Phi Y\mathcal{P} = \Omega. \quad (1)$$

And it is considered a generalization of the equation that appeared in [41].

For \mathcal{P} and Φ are invertible, the following theorem discusses the state solution of Equation (1).

Theorem 2.1: Let $\mathcal{P} \in B(B(F, D)$ and $\Phi \in B(D, F)$ be invertible and $\Omega \in B(F)$, where F, D be Hilbert C^* -modules, then the operator Equation (1) has a solution $(X, Y) \in B(D) \times B(D)$, in this case any solution to Equation (1) in this situation is shown as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{P}^*)^{-1}\Omega(\Phi^*)^{-1} - (\mathcal{P}^*)^{-1}K(\Phi^*)^{-1} \\ Y &= \frac{1}{2}(\Phi)^{-1}\Omega(\mathcal{P})^{-1} + (\Phi)^{-1}K(\mathcal{P})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $K \in B(F)$ is arbitrary.

Proof: Suppose $M = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}^* & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{P}^* \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}$, $N = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}$, $\hat{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & X \\ Y^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}$, $L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Omega \\ \Omega^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}$.

It is clear that L is self-adjoint. Therefore, using Theorem 1.7, there is a solution like \hat{X} for equation $M\hat{X}N^* + N\hat{X}^*M^* = L$, from which we will obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}^* & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{P}^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & X \\ Y^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Phi^* & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi^* \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \Phi & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Y \\ X^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{P} \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{P}^*Y^*\Phi^* + \Phi X^*\mathcal{P} \\ \mathcal{P}^*Y^*\Phi^* + \Phi X^*\mathcal{P} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Omega \\ \Omega^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} = L.$$

from this, we conclude $\mathcal{P}^*X\Phi^* + \Phi Y\mathcal{P} = \Omega$. That is (X, Y) represents a solution to this equation. It is clear that M and N are reversible. Referring to Theorem 1.7 and utilizing the data that has emerged, we find that the solution to equation $M\hat{X}N^* + N\hat{X}^*M^* = L$ is as follows

$$\hat{X} = \frac{1}{2}M^{-1}L(N^*)^{-1} - M^{-1}Z(N^*)^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

where $Z \in B(F \oplus F)$ satisfied $Z^* = -Z$. If we take $Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 & Z_2 \\ Z_3 & Z_4 \end{bmatrix}$, and after substituting each value with its equivalent in Equation (2), produces

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & X \\ Y^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (\mathcal{P}^*)^{-1}\Omega(\Phi^*)^{-1} \\ (\mathcal{P}^*)^{-1}\Omega^*(\Phi^*)^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ - \begin{bmatrix} (\mathcal{P}^*)^{-1}Z_1(\Phi^*)^{-1} & (\mathcal{P}^*)^{-1}Z_2(\Phi^*)^{-1} \\ (\mathcal{P}^*)^{-1}Z_3(\Phi^*)^{-1} & (\mathcal{P}^*)^{-1}Z_4(\Phi^*)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

from this data and by utilizing the properties of matrices, leads to

$$X = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{P}^*)^{-1}\Omega(\Phi^*)^{-1} - (\mathcal{P}^*)^{-1}Z_2(\Phi^*)^{-1} \quad (3)$$

$$Y^* = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{P}^*)^{-1}\Omega^*(\Phi^*)^{-1} - (\mathcal{P}^*)^{-1}Z_3(\Phi^*)^{-1} \quad (4)$$

$$(\mathcal{P}^*)^{-1}Z_1(\Phi^*)^{-1} = 0, (\mathcal{P}^*)^{-1}Z_4(\Phi^*)^{-1}. \quad (5)$$

We have that both \mathcal{P}^* and Φ^* are invertible, so by utilizing Equation (5), it becomes clear that $Z_1 = 0, Z_4 = 0$. Additionally, we have $Z^* = -Z$, and this relationship provides us with the relationship $Z_3^* = -Z_2$. Therefore, when we assume that $K = Z_2$, both (3) and (4) become as the following form, which represents a solution to Equation (1).

$$X = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{P}^*)^{-1}\Omega(\Phi^*)^{-1} - (\mathcal{P}^*)^{-1}K(\Phi^*)^{-1} \\ Y = \frac{1}{2}(\Phi^*)^{-1}\Omega(\mathcal{P})^{-1} + (\Phi^*)^{-1}K(\mathcal{P})^{-1}.$$

If Φ is a regular and \mathcal{P} is invertible, the following theorem gives another solution of Equation (1).

Theorem 2.2: Let $\mathcal{P} \in B(F, D)$, $\Phi \in B(D, F)$ and $\Omega \in B(F)$, where F, D be Hilbert C^* -modules, \mathcal{P} be invertible and Φ is regular, such that $\Phi\Phi^+\Omega = \Omega$, then the statements that follow are interchangeable:

- (i) There is a solution $(X, Y) \in B(D) \times B(D)$ to Equation (1),
- (ii) $(I - \Phi\Phi^+)\Omega(I - \Phi\Phi^+) = 0$.

Any solution to Equation (1) takes the following form if (i) or (ii) are fulfilled.

$$X = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{P}^{*-1}\Phi\Phi^+\Omega\Phi^{*+} - \mathcal{P}^{*-1}\Phi\Phi^+K\Phi^{*+} + \mathcal{P}^{*-1}(I - \Phi\Phi^+)\Omega\Phi^{*+} \\ + \mathcal{P}^{*-1}U^*(I - \Phi^+\Phi).$$

$$Y = \frac{1}{2}\Phi^+\Omega\Phi\Phi^+\mathcal{P}^{-1} + \Phi^+K\Phi\Phi^+\mathcal{P}^{-1} + \Phi^+\Omega(I - \Phi\Phi^+)\mathcal{P}^{-1} + (I - \Phi^+\Phi)W\mathcal{P}^{-1},$$

where $K \in B(F), W, U \in B(F, D)$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose the Equation (1) has solution $(X, Y) \in B(D) \times B(D)$. Then we have

$$(I - \Phi\Phi^+)\Omega(I - \Phi\Phi^+) = (I - \Phi\Phi^+)(\mathcal{P}^*X\Phi^* + \Phi Y\mathcal{P})(I - \Phi\Phi^+) \\ = (I - \Phi\Phi^+)\mathcal{P}^*X\Phi^*(I - \Phi\Phi^+) + (I - \Phi\Phi^+)\Phi Y\mathcal{P}(I - \Phi\Phi^+) = 0.$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i), Suppose $(I - \Phi\Phi^+)\Omega(I - \Phi\Phi^+) = 0$ and let $N = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}^* & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{P}^* \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}, M = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}, \hat{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & X^* \\ Y & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}, L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Omega^* \\ \Omega & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}$. Clear L is self-adjoint also,

$$(I - MM^+)L(I - MM^+) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (I - \Phi\Phi^+)\Omega^*(I - \Phi\Phi^+) \\ (I - \Phi\Phi^+)\Omega(I - \Phi\Phi^+) & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

from this data and referring to Theorem 1.8, \hat{X} represents a solution to equation $M\hat{X}N^* + N\hat{X}^*M^* = L$, and from this equation, consists

$$M\hat{X}N^* + N\hat{X}^*M^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Phi X^*\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}^*Y^*\Phi^* \\ \Phi Y\mathcal{P} + \mathcal{P}^*X\Phi^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Omega^* \\ \Omega & 0 \end{bmatrix} = L,$$

from this, we conclude $\mathcal{P}^*X\Phi^* + \Phi Y\mathcal{P} = \Omega$, That is, (X, Y) represents a solution to this equation. Referring to Theorem 1.8 and utilizing the data that has emerged, we find that the solution to equation $M\hat{X}N^* + N\hat{X}^*M^* = L$ is as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{X} = & \frac{1}{2}M^+LMM^+(N^*)^{-1} + M^+ZMM^+(N^*)^{-1} \\ & + M^+L(I - MM^+)(N^*)^{-1} + (I - M^+M)S(N^*)^{-1},\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

where $Z \in B(F \oplus F)$ satisfied $M^*(Z + Z^*)M = 0$ and $S \in B(F \oplus F, D \oplus D)$ is arbitrary. If take $Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 & Z_2 \\ Z_3 & Z_4 \end{bmatrix}$, $S = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_2 \\ S_3 & S_4 \end{bmatrix}$ and substituting each value with its equivalent in Equation (6), leads to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & X^* \\ Y & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Phi^+\Omega^*\Phi\Phi^+\mathcal{P}^{-1} \\ \Phi^+\Omega\Phi\Phi^+\mathcal{P}^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \Phi^+Z_1\Phi\Phi^+\mathcal{P}^{-1} & \Phi^+Z_2\Phi\Phi^+\mathcal{P}^{-1} \\ \Phi^+Z_3\Phi\Phi^+\mathcal{P}^{-1} & \Phi^+Z_4\Phi\Phi^+\mathcal{P}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Phi^+\Omega^*(I - \Phi\Phi^+)\mathcal{P}^{-1} \\ \Phi^+\Omega(I - \Phi\Phi^+)\mathcal{P}^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} (I - \Phi^+\Phi)S_1\mathcal{P}^{-1} & (I - \Phi^+\Phi)S_2\mathcal{P}^{-1} \\ (I - \Phi^+\Phi)S_3\mathcal{P}^{-1} & (I - \Phi^+\Phi)S_4\mathcal{P}^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

this, relying on the properties of matrices, it bears fruit

$$X^* = \frac{1}{2}\Phi^+\Omega^*\Phi\Phi^+\mathcal{P}^{-1} + \Phi^+Z_2\Phi\Phi^+\mathcal{P}^{-1} + \Phi^+\Omega^*(I - \Phi\Phi^+)\mathcal{P}^{-1} + (I - \Phi^+\Phi)S_2\mathcal{P}^{-1} \quad (7)$$

$$Y = \frac{1}{2}\Phi^+\Omega\Phi\Phi^+\mathcal{P}^{-1} + \Phi^+Z_3\Phi\Phi^+\mathcal{P}^{-1} + \Phi^+\Omega(I - \Phi\Phi^+)\mathcal{P}^{-1} + (I - \Phi^+\Phi)S_3\mathcal{P}^{-1} \quad (8)$$

$$\Phi^+Z_1\Phi\Phi^+\mathcal{P}^{-1} + (I - \Phi^+\Phi)S_1\mathcal{P}^{-1} = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\Phi^+Z_4\Phi\Phi^+\mathcal{P}^{-1} + (I - \Phi^+\Phi)S_4\mathcal{P}^{-1} = 0, \quad (10)$$

and after multiplying Equations (9) and (10) by \mathcal{P} from the right and Φ from the left, provides

$$\Phi\Phi^+Z_1\Phi\Phi^+ = 0 \text{ and } \Phi\Phi^+Z_4\Phi\Phi^+ = 0,$$

and since we have $M^*(Z + Z^*)M = 0$, this gives us the following data.

$$\Phi^*Z_2^*\Phi = -\Phi^*Z_3\Phi \text{ and } \Phi^*Z_3^*\Phi = -\Phi^*Z_2\Phi, \quad (11)$$

and since $\ker(M^+) = \ker(M^*)$, this means that $M^*(Z + Z^*)M = 0$, and this leads us to the following

$$\Phi^+Z_3\Phi = -\Phi^+Z_2^*\Phi \text{ and } \Phi^+Z_2\Phi = -\Phi^+Z_3^*\Phi. \quad (12)$$

Therefore, taking $Z_3 = K, S_2 = U, S_3 = W$ and using Equations (11) and (12). Equations (7) and (8) will become as follows and represent a solution to the Equation (1)

$$\begin{aligned}X = & \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{P}^{*-1}\Phi\Phi^+\Omega\Phi^{*+} - \mathcal{P}^{*-1}\Phi\Phi^+K\Phi^{*+} + \mathcal{P}^{*-1}(I - \Phi\Phi^+)\Omega\Phi^{*+} \\ & + \mathcal{P}^{*-1}U^*(I - \Phi^+\Phi)\end{aligned}$$

$$Y = \frac{1}{2}\Phi^+\Omega\Phi\Phi^+\mathcal{P}^{-1} + \Phi^+K\Phi\Phi^+\mathcal{P}^{-1} + \Phi^+\Omega(I - \Phi\Phi^+)\mathcal{P}^{-1} + (I - \Phi^+\Phi)W\mathcal{P}^{-1}.$$

If Φ is invertible and \mathcal{P} is a regular, the following theorem provides solution to Equation (1).

Theorem 2.3: Let $\mathcal{P} \in B(F, D)$, $\Phi \in B(D, F)$ and $\Omega \in B(F)$, where F, D be Hilbert C*-modules, Φ be invertible and \mathcal{P} be regular, such that $\Omega\mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P} = \Omega$, then the statements that follow are interchangeable:

- (i) There is a solution $(X, Y) \in B(D) \times B(D)$ to Equation (1),
- (ii) $(I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P})\Omega(I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}) = 0$.

Any solution to Equation (1) takes the following form if (i) or (ii) are fulfilled

$$\begin{aligned}X = & \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{P}^{*+}\Omega\mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}\Phi^{*-1} + \mathcal{P}^{*+}K\mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}\Phi^{*-1} + \mathcal{P}^{*+}\Omega(I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P})\Phi^{*-1} + (I - \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^+)U\Phi^{*-1} \\ Y = & \frac{1}{2}\Phi^{-1}\mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}\Omega\mathcal{P}^+ - \Phi^{-1}\mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}K\mathcal{P}^+ + \Phi^{-1}(I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P})\Omega\mathcal{P}^+ + \Phi^{-1}W^*(I - \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^+),\end{aligned}$$

where $K \in B(F), W, U \in B(F, D)$

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose the Equation (1) has solution $(X, Y) \in B(D) \times B(D)$. This achieves

$$(I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P})\Omega(I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}) = (I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P})(\mathcal{P}^*X\Phi^* + \Phi Y\mathcal{P})(I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P})$$

$$= (I - \mathcal{P}^+ \mathcal{P}) \mathcal{P}^* X \Phi^* (I - \mathcal{P}^+ \mathcal{P}) + (I - \mathcal{P}^+ \mathcal{P}) \Phi Y \mathcal{P} (I - \mathcal{P}^+ \mathcal{P}) = 0.$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose $(I - \mathcal{P}^+ \mathcal{P}) \Omega (I - \mathcal{P}^+ \mathcal{P}) = 0$ and let $M = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}^* & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{P}^* \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}$, $N = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}$, $\hat{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & X \\ Y^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}$, $L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Omega \\ \Omega^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}$. Clear L is self-adjoint also,

$$(I - MM^+)L(I - MM^+) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (I - \mathcal{P}^+ \mathcal{P}) \Omega (I - \mathcal{P}^+ \mathcal{P}) \\ (I - \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+}) \Omega^* (I - \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+}) & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

from this data and referring to Theorem 1.8, \hat{X} will represent a solution to equation $M\hat{X}N^* + N\hat{X}^*M^* = L$, and from this equation, consists

$$M\hat{X}N^* + N\hat{X}^*M^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{P}^* X \Phi^* + \Phi Y \mathcal{P} \\ \mathcal{P}^* Y^* \Phi^* + \Phi X^* \mathcal{P} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Omega \\ \Omega^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} = L,$$

from this, produces $\mathcal{P}^* X \Phi^* + \Phi Y \mathcal{P} = \Omega$, that is (X, Y) represents a solution to this equation. Referring to Theorem 1.8 and utilizing the data that has emerged, we find that the solution to equation $M\hat{X}N^* + N\hat{X}^*M^* = L$ is as follows

$$\hat{X} = \frac{1}{2} M^+ L M M^+ (N^*)^{-1} + M^+ Z M M^+ (N^*)^{-1} + M^+ L (I - MM^+) (N^*)^{-1} + (I - MM^+) S (N^*)^{-1}, \quad (13)$$

where $Z \in B(F \oplus F)$ satisfied $M^*(Z + Z^*)M = 0$ and $S \in B(F \oplus F, D \oplus D)$ is arbitrary. If take $Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 & Z_2 \\ Z_3 & Z_4 \end{bmatrix}$ and $S = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_2 \\ S_3 & S_4 \end{bmatrix}$ and substituting each value with its equivalent in Equation (13), this achieves

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & X \\ Y^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Omega \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Phi^{*-1} \\ \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Omega \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Phi^{*-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ \left. + \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_1 \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Phi^{*-1} & \mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_2 \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Phi^{*-1} \\ \mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_3 \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Phi^{*-1} & \mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_4 \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Phi^{*-1} \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ \left. + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Omega (I - \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+}) \Phi^{*-1} \\ \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Omega^* (I - \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+}) \Phi^{*-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ \left. + \begin{bmatrix} (I - \mathcal{P}^{*+} \mathcal{P}^*) S_1 \Phi^{*-1} & (I - \mathcal{P}^{*+} \mathcal{P}^*) S_2 \Phi^{*-1} \\ (I - \mathcal{P}^{*+} \mathcal{P}^*) S_3 \Phi^{*-1} & (I - \mathcal{P}^{*+} \mathcal{P}^*) S_4 \Phi^{*-1} \end{bmatrix} \right],$$

this, relying on the properties of matrices, yield

$$X = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Omega \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Phi^{*-1} + \mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_2 \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Phi^{*-1} + \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Omega (I - \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+}) \Phi^{*-1} + (I - \mathcal{P}^{*+} \mathcal{P}^*) S_2 \Phi^{*-1}. \quad (14)$$

$$Y^* = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Omega^* \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Phi^{*-1} + \mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_3 \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Phi^{*-1} + \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Omega^* (I - \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+}) \Phi^{*-1} + (I - \mathcal{P}^{*+} \mathcal{P}^*) S_3 \Phi^{*-1}. \quad (15)$$

$$\mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_1 \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Phi^{*-1} + (I - \mathcal{P}^{*+} \mathcal{P}^*) S_1 \Phi^{*-1} = 0. \quad (16)$$

$$\mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_4 \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Phi^{*-1} + (I - \mathcal{P}^{*+} \mathcal{P}^*) S_4 \Phi^{*-1} = 0, \quad (17)$$

and after multiplying Equations (16) and (17) by Φ^* from the right and \mathcal{P}^* from the left, gives

$$\mathcal{P}^+ \mathcal{P} Z_1 \mathcal{P}^+ \mathcal{P} = 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{P}^+ \mathcal{P} Z_4 \mathcal{P}^+ \mathcal{P} = 0,$$

and since we have $M^*(Z + Z^*)M = 0$, this provides us with the following data

$$\mathcal{P} Z_2 \mathcal{P}^* = -\mathcal{P} Z_3^* \mathcal{P}^* \text{ and } \mathcal{P} Z_3 \mathcal{P}^* = -\mathcal{P} Z_2^* \mathcal{P}^*, \quad (18)$$

and since $\ker(M^+) = \ker(M^*)$, this means that $M^*(Z + Z^*)M = 0$, and this leads us to the following

$$\mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_3 \mathcal{P}^* = -\mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_2^* \mathcal{P}^* \text{ and } \mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_2 \mathcal{P}^* = -\mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_3^* \mathcal{P}^*. \quad (19)$$

Therefore, taking $Z_2 = K, S_2 = U, S_3 = W$, using Equations (18) and (19). Equations (14) and (15) will become as follows and represent a solution to the Equation (1)

$$X = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Omega \mathcal{P}^+ \mathcal{P} \Phi^{*-1} + \mathcal{P}^{*+} K \mathcal{P}^+ \mathcal{P} \Phi^{*-1} + \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Omega (I - \mathcal{P}^+ \mathcal{P}) \Phi^{*-1} + (I - \mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}^+) U \Phi^{*-1}.$$

$$Y = \frac{1}{2}\Phi^{-1}\mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}\Omega\mathcal{P}^+ - \Phi^{-1}\mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}K\mathcal{P}^+ + \Phi^{-1}(I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P})\Omega\mathcal{P}^+ + \Phi^{-1}W^*(I - \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^+).$$

The next theorem addresses the fourth solution of Equation (1), where both \mathcal{P} and Φ are regulars.

Theorem 2.4: Let $\mathcal{P} \in B(F, D)$, $\Phi \in B(D, F)$ be self-adjoint and $\Omega \in B(F)$, where F, D be Hilbert C*-modules, Φ and \mathcal{P} are regular, such that $\Omega\mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P} = \Omega$, $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}\Phi\Phi^+$, $\Phi\Phi^+\Omega = \Omega = \Omega\Phi^+\Phi$ then the statements that follow are interchangeable:

- (i) There is a solution $(X, Y) \in B(F, D) \times B(D, F)$ to Equation (1),
- (ii) $(I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P})\Omega(I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}) = 0$ and $(I - \Phi\Phi^+)\Omega(I - \Phi\Phi^+) = 0$.

Any solution to Equation (1) takes the following form if (i) or (ii) are fulfilled

$$X = \mathcal{P}^{*+}\Omega\Phi^+ - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{P}^{*+}\Omega\mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}\Phi^+ + \mathcal{P}^{*+}K\mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}\Phi^+ - U + \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^+U\Phi\Phi^+.$$

$$Y = \Phi^+\Omega\mathcal{P}^+ - \frac{1}{2}\Phi^+\mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}\Omega\mathcal{P}^+ - \Phi^+\mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}K\mathcal{P}^+ - W^* + \Phi^+\Phi W^*\mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^+,$$

where $K \in B(F)$, $W, U \in B(F, D)$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose the Equation (1) has solution $(X, Y) \in B(F, D) \times B(D, F)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P})\Omega(I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}) &= (I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P})(\mathcal{P}^*X\Phi^* + \Phi Y\mathcal{P})(I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}) \\ &= (I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P})\mathcal{P}^*X\Phi^*(I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}) + (I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P})\Phi Y\mathcal{P}(I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (I - \Phi\Phi^+)\Omega(I - \Phi\Phi^+) &= (I - \Phi\Phi^*)(\mathcal{P}^*X\Phi^* + \Phi Y\mathcal{P})(I - \Phi\Phi^*) \\ &= (I - \Phi\Phi^*)\mathcal{P}^*X\Phi^*(I - \Phi\Phi^*) + (I - \Phi\Phi^*)\Phi Y\mathcal{P}(I - \Phi\Phi^*) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose $(I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P})\Omega(I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}) = 0$, and $(I - \Phi\Phi^+)\Omega(I - \Phi\Phi^+) = 0$ and let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}^* & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{P}^* \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}, N = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}, \hat{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & X \\ Y^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}, L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Omega \\ \Omega^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}.$$

Clear L is self-adjoint also,

$$(I - MM^+)L(I - MM^+) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P})\Omega(I - \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{P}) \\ (I - \mathcal{P}^*\mathcal{P}^{*+})\Omega^*(I - \mathcal{P}^*\mathcal{P}^{*+}) & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

from this data and referring to Theorem 1.9, \hat{X} represents a solution to equation $M\hat{X}N^* + N\hat{X}^*M^* = L$, and from this equation, consists

$$M\hat{X}N^* + N\hat{X}^*M^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{P}^*X\Phi^* + \Phi Y\mathcal{P} \\ \mathcal{P}^*Y^*\Phi^* + \Phi X^*\mathcal{P} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Omega \\ \Omega^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} = L,$$

from this, produces $\mathcal{P}^*X\Phi^* + \Phi Y\mathcal{P} = \Omega$, that is (X, Y) represents a solution to this equation. Referring to Theorem 1.9 and utilizing the data that has emerged, the solution to equation $M\hat{X}N^* + N\hat{X}^*M^* = L$ is as follows

$$\hat{X} = M^+LN^+ - \frac{1}{2}M^+LMM^+N^+ + M^+ZMM^+N^+ + S - M^+MSNN^+, \quad (20)$$

where $Z \in B(F \oplus F)$ satisfied $M^*(Z + Z^*)M = 0$ and $S \in B(F \oplus F, D \oplus D)$ is arbitrary. If take $Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 & Z_2 \\ Z_3 & Z_4 \end{bmatrix}$ and $S = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_2 \\ S_3 & S_4 \end{bmatrix}$ and substituting each value with its equivalent in Equation (1), this generates

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & X \\ Y^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{P}^{*+}\Omega\Phi^+ \\ \mathcal{P}^{*+}\Omega^*\Phi^+ & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ -\frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{P}^{*+}\Omega\mathcal{P}^*\mathcal{P}^{*+}\Phi^+ \\ \mathcal{P}^{*+}\Omega^*\mathcal{P}^*\mathcal{P}^{*+}\Phi^+ & 0 \end{bmatrix} &+ \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}^{*+}Z_1\mathcal{P}^*\mathcal{P}^{*+}\Phi^+ & \mathcal{P}^{*+}Z_2\mathcal{P}^*\mathcal{P}^{*+}\Phi^+ \\ \mathcal{P}^{*+}Z_3\mathcal{P}^*\mathcal{P}^{*+}\Phi^+ & \mathcal{P}^{*+}Z_4\mathcal{P}^*\mathcal{P}^{*+}\Phi^+ \end{bmatrix} \\ -\begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_2 \\ S_3 & S_4 \end{bmatrix} &+ \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}^{*+}\mathcal{P}^*S_1\Phi\Phi^+ & \mathcal{P}^{*+}\mathcal{P}^*S_2\Phi\Phi^+ \\ \mathcal{P}^{*+}\mathcal{P}^*S_3\Phi\Phi^+ & \mathcal{P}^{*+}\mathcal{P}^*S_4\Phi\Phi^+ \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

this, relying on the properties of matrices, yield

$$X = \mathcal{P}^{*+}\Omega\Phi^+ - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{P}^{*+}\Omega\mathcal{P}^*\mathcal{P}^{*+}\Phi^+ + \mathcal{P}^{*+}Z_2\mathcal{P}^*\mathcal{P}^{*+}\Phi^+ - S_2 + \mathcal{P}^{*+}\mathcal{P}^*S_2\Phi\Phi^+. \quad (21)$$

$$Y^* = \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Omega^* \Phi^* - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Omega^* \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Phi^* + \mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_3 \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Phi^* - S_3 + \mathcal{P}^{*+} \mathcal{P}^* S_3 \Phi \Phi^*. \quad (22)$$

$$\mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_1 \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Phi^* - S_1 + \mathcal{P}^{*+} \mathcal{P}^* S_1 \Phi \Phi^* = 0. \quad (25)$$

$$\mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_4 \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Phi^* - S_4 + \mathcal{P}^{*+} \mathcal{P}^* S_4 \Phi \Phi^* = 0, \quad (26)$$

and after multiplying Equations (25) and (26) by Φ from the right and \mathcal{P}^* from the left, provide

$$\mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_1 \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+} = 0, \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_4 \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P}^{*+} = 0,$$

from the relationship $M^*(Z + Z^*)M = 0$ and because $\ker(M^+) = \ker(M^*)$, gives $M^*(Z + Z^*)M = 0$, and this leads us to the following data

$$\mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_3 \mathcal{P}^* = -\mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_2^* \mathcal{P}^* \text{ and } \mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_2 \mathcal{P}^* = -\mathcal{P}^{*+} Z_3^* \mathcal{P}^*. \quad (27)$$

Therefore, when we assume that $Z_2 = K, S_2 = U, S_3 = W$, using Equation (27), then Equations (21) and (22) will become as follows and represent a solution to the Equation (1)

$$X = \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Omega \Phi^* - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}^{*+} \Omega \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P} \Phi^* + \mathcal{P}^{*+} K \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P} \Phi^* - U + \mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}^* U \Phi \Phi^*$$

$$Y = \Phi^* \Omega \mathcal{P}^* - \frac{1}{2} \Phi^* \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P} \Omega \mathcal{P}^* - \Phi^* \mathcal{P}^* \mathcal{P} K \mathcal{P}^* - W^* + \Phi^* \Phi W^* \mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}^*.$$

3. Conclusions

The aim of this work was to provide some necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions to the operator equation $\mathcal{P}^* X \Phi^* + \Phi Y \mathcal{P} = \Omega$, in addition to constructing the forms of these solutions under additional assumptions and by using matrix techniques. This technique may also be applicable to studying other equations such as $\mathcal{P}^* X + Y \mathcal{P} = \Omega$ and so on.

References

- [1] Z. N. Moghani, M. Khanehgir, and M. M. Karizaki, “Explicit solution to the operator equation $\text{AXD}^* + \text{FX}^* \text{B} = \text{C}$ over Hilbert C^* -modules,” *Journal Mathematics Analysis*, vol. 10, no 1, pp. 52–64, 2019.
- [2] M. Z. Niazi, K. M. Mohammadzadeh, and M. Khanehgir, “Solutions of Sylvester equation in C^* -modular operators,” *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, vol. 73, no. 3, pp. 354–369, 2021.
- [3] I. Kaplansky, “Modules over operator algebras,” *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 75, no. 4, pp. 839–858, 1953.
- [4] E. C. Lance and C. Hilbert, *Modules, LMS Lecture Note Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [5] M. Frank, “Geometrical aspects of Hilbert C^* -modules,” *Positivity*, vol. 3, pp. 215–243, 1999.
- [6] M. Frank and D. R. Larson, “Frames in Hilbert C^* -modules and C^* -algebras,” *Journal of Operator Theory*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 273–314, 2002.
- [7] X. Fang, J. Yu, and H. Yao, “Solutions to operator equations on Hilbert C^* -modules,” *Linear Algebra Applications*, vol. 431, no. 11, pp. 2142–2153, 2009.
- [8] K. Jensen and K. Thomsen, *Elements of KK-theory*, Springer Science & Business Media, 1991.
- [9] Z. Mousavi, F. Mirzapour, and M. S. Moslehian, “Positive definite solutions of certain nonlinear matrix equations,” *Operator Matrices*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 113–126, 2016.
- [10] J. K. Baksalary and R. Kala, “The matrix equation $\text{ax} - \text{yb} = \text{c}$,” *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 25, pp. 41–43, 1979.
- [11] G. Xu, M. Wei, and D. Zheng, “On solutions of matrix equation $\text{AXB} + \text{CYD} = \text{F}$,” *Linear Algebra Applications*, vol. 279, no. 1–3, pp. 93–109, 1998.
- [12] Q. W. Wang, H. S. Zhang, and S. W. Yu, “On solutions to the quaternion matrix equation $\text{AXB} + \text{CYD} = \text{E}$,” *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, vol. 17, pp. 343–358, 2008.
- [13] M. Dehghan and M. Hajarian, “On the reflexive solutions of the matrix equation $\text{AXB} + \text{CYD} = \text{E}$,” *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical society*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 511–519, 2009.
- [14] M. M. Karizaki and D. Djordjevic, “Solutions to some solvable modular operator equations,” *Functional Analysis, Approximation and Computation*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 7–13, 2016.
- [15] J. K. Baksalary and R. Kala, “The matrix equation $\text{axb} + \text{cyd} = \text{e}$,” *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 30, pp. 141–147, 1980.
- [16] H. Flanders and H. K. Wimmer, “On the matrix equations $\text{AX-XB} = \text{C}$ and $\text{AX-YB} = \text{C}$,” *SIAM*

- Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 707–710, 1977.
- [17] X. Fang and J. Yu, “Solutions to operator equations on Hilbert C^* -modules II,” *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 23–60, 2010.
- [18] Z. Mousavi, R. Eskandari, M. S. Moslehian, and F. Mirzapour, “Operator equations $AX+YB=C$ and $AXA^*+BYB^*=C$ in Hilbert C^* -modules,” *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 517, pp. 85–98, 2017.
- [19] M. Wang, X. Cheng, and M. Wei, “Iterative algorithms for solving the matrix equation $AXB+CXTD=E$,” *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 187, no. 2, pp. 622–629, 2007.
- [20] F. O. Farid, M. S. Moslehian, Q. W. Wang, and Z. C. Wu, “On the Hermitian solutions to a system of adjointable operator equations,” *Linear Algebra Applications*, vol. 437, no. 7, pp. 1854–1891, 2012.
- [21] G. R. Duan, “The solution to the matrix equation $AV+BW=EVJ+R$,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 17, no. 10, pp. 1197–1202, 2004.
- [22] A. P. Liao, Z. Z. Bai, and Y. Lei, “Best approximate solution of matrix equation $AXB+CYD=E$,” *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 675–688, 2005.
- [23] J. Li, X. Hu, and L. Zhang, “The submatrix constraint problem of matrix equation $AXB+CYD=E$,” *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 215, no. 7, pp. 2578–2590, 2009.
- [24] Y. F. Ke and C. F. Ma, “Alternating direction method for generalized Sylvester matrix equation $AXB+CYD=E$,” *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 260, pp. 106–125, 2015.
- [25] S. D. Mohsen, “New Generalizations for M-Hyponormal Operators,” *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 64, no. 12, pp. 6477–6482, 2023.
- [26] S. D. Mohsen, “Novel Results of K Quasi (λ -M)-hyponormal Operator,” *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 374–380, 2024.
- [27] E. Kreyszig, *Introductory functional analysis with applications*, vol. 17. John Wiley & Sons, 1991.
- [28] A. M. Bikchentaev, “On invertibility of some operator sums,” *Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 216–222, 2012.
- [29] S. J. Bernau, “The square root of a positive self-adjoint operator,” *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 17–36, 1968.
- [30] V. S. Araujo, F. A. B. Coutinho, and J. F. Perez, “Operator domains and self-adjoint operators,” *American Journal of Physics*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 203–213, 2004.
- [31] D. Popovici and Z. Sebestyén, “On operators which are adjoint to each other,” *Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum*, vol. 80, no. 1, pp. 175–194, 2014.
- [32] S. K. Berberian, *Introduction to Hilbert space*, vol. 287. American Mathematical Soc., 1999.
- [33] P. A. Fillmore and J. P. Williams, “On operator ranges,” *Advances in mathematics*, vol. 7 no. 3, pp. 254–281, 1971.
- [34] R. W. Cross, M. I. Ostrovskii, and V. V. Shevchik, “Operator ranges in Banach spaces, I,” *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 173, no. 1, pp. 91–114, 1995.
- [35] A. R. Schep, “Kernel operators,” *Indagationes Mathematicae (Proceedings)*, vol. 82, no. 1, pp. 39–53, North-Holland. 1979.
- [36] C. Y. Deng and H. K. Du, “Representations of the Moore–Penrose inverse for a class of 2-by-2 block operator valued partial matrices,” *Linear and multilinear Algebra*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 15–26, 2010.
- [37] R. MacAusland, “The moore-penrose inverse and least squares,” *Math 420 Advanced Topics in Linear Algebra*, pp. 1–10, 2014.
- [38] F. Toutounian and A. Ataei, “A new method for computing Moore–Penrose inverse matrices,” *Journal of Computational and applied Mathematics*, vol. 228, no. 1, pp. 412–417, 2009.
- [39] T. Bermudez and M. González, “Almost regular operators are regular,” *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical society*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 205–210, 2001.
- [40] M. M. Karizaki, M. Hassani, and S. S. Dragomir, “Explicit solution to modular operator equation $TXS^*SX^*T^*=A$,” *Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 280–289, 2016.
- [41] M. M. Karizaki, M. Hassani, and D. Djordjevic, “The solutions to the operator equation $\$TXS^{*-}SX^{*}T^{*}=A\$$ in Hilbert $\$C^{*}\$$ -modules,” *International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 127–132, 2016.