



ISSN: 0067-2904

Certain Subclasses of Meromorphic Functions Involving Differential Operator

Ismael I. Hameed*, Abdul Rahman S. Juma

University of Anbar, College of Education for Pure Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Ramadi-Iraq

Received: 9/12/2021

Accepted: 28/1/2022

Published: 30/12/2022

Abstract

We obtain the coefficient estimates, extreme points, distortion and growth boundaries, radii of starlikeness, convexity, and close-to-convexity, according to the main purpose of this paper.

Keywords: Multivalent Function, Convex Function, Starlike Function, Coefficient Estimates, Hadamard product..

فئات جزئية معينة من الدوال الميرومورفية التي تنطوي على عامل تفاضلي

اسماويل ابراهيم حميد * ، عبد الرحمن سلمان جمعة

قسم الرياضيات، التربية للعلوم الصرفة، جامعة الانبار، الانبار، العراق

الخلاصة

نحصل على تقديرات المعامل ، والنقط المتطرفة ، وحدود التشوه والنمو ، وأنصاف قطرات التشبه بالنجوم ، والتحدب ، والقرب من التحدب ، وفقاً للغرض الرئيسي من هذه الورقة.

1. Introduction

Let Σ denote by class of meromorphic functions of the form

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{w} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k w^k, \quad a_k \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in unit disk

$$U^* = \{w: w \in \mathbb{C}, 0 < |w| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}. \quad (2)$$

Let $g \in \Sigma$ be given by

$$g(w) = \frac{1}{w} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k w^k, \quad b_k \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

Then the Hadamard product of f and g is given by

$$(f * g)(w) = \frac{1}{w} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k w^k, \quad a_k b_k \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

A function $f * g$ in Σ is said to be meromorphically starlike of order ε if

*Email: sicps-sci2021@uoanbar.edu.iq

$$Re \left\{ -\frac{w(f * g)'(w)}{(f * g)(w)} \right\} > \varepsilon, w \in U^*, (0 \leq \varepsilon < 1). \quad (5)$$

We denote by $\Sigma^*(\varepsilon)$ class of all meromorphically starlike functions of order ε . Similarly, a function $f * g$ in Σ is said to be meromorphically convex of order ε if

$$Re \left\{ -\left(1 + \frac{w(f * g)''(w)}{(f * g)'(w)} \right) \right\} > \varepsilon, w \in U, (0 \leq \varepsilon < 1). \quad (6)$$

And we denote by $\Sigma_k^*(\varepsilon)$ the class of meromorphically convex functions of ε . The class $\Sigma^*(\varepsilon)$ and $\Sigma_k^*(\varepsilon)$ were introduced and studied by Pommerenke [1], Miller [2], Mogra et al. [3], Aouf et al. [4,5], El-Ashwah et al. [6], Mostafa et al. [7] and Venkateswarlu et al. [8,9,10].

Let us consider the second order linear homogenous differential equation (see, Baricz [11],[12]):

$$w^2 z''(w) + swz' + [tw^2 - u^2 + (1-h)]z(w) = 0, (h, t, u \in \mathbb{C}). \quad (7)$$

The function $z_{u,h,t}(w)$ which is called the generalized Bessel function of the first kind of order ε where ε is an unrestricted (real or complex) number, is defined a particular solution of (7). The function $z_{u,h,t}(w)$, has the representation

$$z_{u,h,t}(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^k}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{w}{2}\right)^{2k+u}.$$

Assume

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{u,h,t}(w) &= \frac{2^u \Gamma\left(u + \frac{h+1}{2}\right)}{w^{\frac{u}{2}+1}} z_{u,h,t}\left(w^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{w} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma\left(u + \frac{h+1}{2}\right)}{4^k \Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2}\right)} w^k. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.1. [13]. The operator $\mathcal{J}_{u,h,t}$ is a modification of the operator introduced by Deniz [5].

$$(\mathcal{J}_{u,h,t})(w) = \frac{1}{w} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma\left(u + \frac{h+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2}\right)} w^k, \quad (h, t, u \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Definition 1.2. [14,15]. For $(f * g)(w) \in \mathcal{A}$ the linear operator $\mathcal{T}_\tau^m: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_\tau^m(f * g)(w) = \frac{1}{w} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m a_k b_k w^k, \quad (8)$$

for $m \in N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. It can easily be observed that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_\tau^0(f * g)(w) &= (f * g)(w) \\ \mathcal{T}_\tau^1(f * g)(w) &= (1-\tau)(f * g)(w) + \tau \frac{(w^2(f * g)(w))'}{w}, \quad \tau \geq 0 \\ &= (1+\tau)(f * g)(w) + \tau w(f * g)'(w) = \mathcal{T}_\tau(f * g)(w) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_\tau^2(f * g)(w) = \mathcal{T}_\tau(\mathcal{T}_\tau^1(f * g)(w)).$$

Definition 1.3. For $(f * g)(w) \in \mathcal{A}$ the operator $\mathfrak{J}_{\zeta,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w): \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is defined by Hadamard product of operator \mathcal{T}_τ^m and the operator $\mathcal{J}_{u,h,t}$

$$\mathfrak{J}_{\zeta,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w) = \mathcal{T}_\tau^m(f * g)(w) * (\mathcal{J}_{u,h,t})(w),$$

$$\mathfrak{J}_{\zeta,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w) = \frac{1}{w} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(v + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})} a_k b_k w^k. \quad (9)$$

We note that

$$\mathfrak{J}_{\zeta,u,1,1}^{0,k}(f * g)(w) = \mathfrak{J}_u(f * g)(w).$$

Definition 1.4. [16]. For $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$, $\frac{1}{2} < \gamma \leq 1$ if $\alpha = 0$, $\frac{1}{2} < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha}$ if $\alpha \neq 0$, let $\Sigma(\alpha, \delta, \gamma, \tau, u, h, t)$ denote a Subclass of Σ consisting functions of the form (4) that satisfy the requirement

$$\left| \frac{\frac{w(\mathfrak{J}_{\zeta,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w))'}{\mathfrak{J}_{\zeta,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w)} + 1}{2\gamma \left[\frac{w(\mathfrak{J}_{\zeta,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w))'}{\mathfrak{J}_{\zeta,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w)} + \alpha \right] - \left[\frac{w(\mathfrak{J}_{\zeta,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w))'}{\mathfrak{J}_{\zeta,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w)} + 1 \right]} \right| < \delta, \quad (10)$$

where $\mathfrak{J}_{\zeta,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w)$ is given by (9). In addition, we state that a function $(f * g)(w) \in \Sigma(\alpha, \delta, \gamma, \tau, u, h, t)$, whenever $(f * g)(w)$ is of the form (4).

We can see that by specializing the parameters in the operator and the class, we get the classes examined by Aouf [5], Kulkarni and Joshi [17], Mogra et al., [3].

2. Coefficient inequality

We get the coefficient boundaries function in this part $(f * g)(w)$ for the class $\Sigma(\alpha, \delta, \gamma, \tau, u, h, t)$.

Theorem 2.1. A function $(f * g)(w)$ in the class $\Sigma(\alpha, \delta, \gamma, \tau, u, h, t)$ if

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha)) \frac{\left(\frac{-t}{4}\right)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})} |a_k||b_k| \\ \leq 2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Proof. If $(f * g)(w) \in \Sigma(\alpha, \delta, \gamma, \tau, u, h, t)$, then by (10) we get

$$\left| \frac{\frac{w(\mathfrak{J}_{\zeta,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w))'}{\mathfrak{J}_{\zeta,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w)} + 1}{2\gamma \left[\frac{w(\mathfrak{J}_{\zeta,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w))'}{\mathfrak{J}_{\zeta,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w)} + \alpha \right] - \left[\frac{w(\mathfrak{J}_{\zeta,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w))'}{\mathfrak{J}_{\zeta,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w)} + 1 \right]} \right| < \delta$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{w \left(\mathfrak{J}_{\tau,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w) \right)' + \mathfrak{J}_{\tau,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w)}{2y w \left(\mathfrak{J}_{\tau,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w) \right)' + 2\alpha y \mathfrak{J}_{\tau,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w) - w \left(\mathfrak{J}_{\tau,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w) \right)' - \mathfrak{J}_{\tau,u,h,t}^{m,k}(f * g)(w)} \right| < \delta \\
& \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{-t}{4}\right)^k \Gamma\left(u + \frac{h+1}{2}\right) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2}\right)} (k+1)a_k b_k w^k}{2y(\alpha-1)\frac{1}{w} + \delta((1-k) + 2y(k-\alpha)) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{-t}{4}\right)^k \Gamma\left(u + \frac{h+1}{2}\right) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2}\right)} a_k b_k w^k} \right| < \delta \\
& = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{-t}{4}\right)^k \Gamma\left(u + \frac{h+1}{2}\right) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2}\right)} (k+1)|a_k||b_k||w^k| \\
& \quad + \delta((1-k) + 2y(k-\alpha)) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{-t}{4}\right)^k \Gamma\left(u + \frac{h+1}{2}\right) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2}\right)} |a_k||b_k||w^k| \\
& \leq 2\delta y(1-\alpha)|w|^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

when $w \rightarrow 1^-$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2y(k-\alpha)) \frac{\left(\frac{-t}{4}\right)^k \Gamma\left(u + \frac{h+1}{2}\right) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2}\right)} |a_k||b_k| \\
& \leq 2\delta y(1-\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2y(k-\alpha)) \frac{\left(\frac{-t}{4}\right)^k \Gamma\left(u + \frac{h+1}{2}\right) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2}\right)} |a_k||b_k| \\
& \leq 2\delta y(1-\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(f * g)(w) \in \Sigma(\alpha, \delta, \mathcal{Y}, \tau, u, h, t)$.

3. Distortion Theorems

We get the sharp for the distortion theorems in this section.

Theorem 3.1. If $(f * g)(w)$ in the class $\Sigma(\alpha, \delta, \mathcal{Y}, \tau, u, h, t)$. Then for $0 < |w| = r < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{r} - \left(\frac{2\delta y(1-\alpha)}{(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2y(k-\alpha)) \frac{\left(\frac{-t}{4}\right)^k \Gamma\left(u + \frac{h+1}{2}\right) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2}\right)}} \right) r \\
& \leq |(f * g)(w)|, \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\leq \frac{1}{r} + \left(\frac{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha)) \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1+\tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})}} \right) r. \quad (13)$$

The function $(f * g)(w)$ from equation in (12) and (13) are obtained

$$= \frac{1}{w} + \left(\frac{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha)) \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1+\tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})}} \right) w. \quad (14)$$

Proof. Via Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha)) \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1+\tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})} |a_k| |b_k| \\ & \leq 2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

for $|w| = r < 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(f * g)(w)| & \geq r^{-1} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| |b_k| r \geq r^{-1} - r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| |b_k| \\ & \geq r^{-1} - \left(\frac{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha)) \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1+\tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})}} \right) r. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} |(f * g)(w)| & \leq r^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| |b_k| r \leq r^{-1} + r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| |b_k| \\ & \leq r^{-1} + \left(\frac{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha)) \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1+\tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})}} \right) r. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, the proof is complete.

4. Growth Theorems

In this section we get the sharp for the growth theorems

Theorem 4.1 If $(f * g)(w)$ in the class $\Sigma(\alpha, \delta, \gamma, \tau, u, h, t)$. Then for $0 < |w| = r < 1$, we have

$$r^{-2} - \left(\frac{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha)) \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})}} \right) \leq |(f * g)'(w)|, \quad (15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |(f * g)'(w)| \\ & \leq r^{-2} \\ & + \frac{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha)) \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})}}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The function $(f * g)'(w)$ from equation (15) and (16) are obtained

$$-(f * g)'(w) = z^{-2} - \left(\frac{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha)) \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})}} \right). \quad (17)$$

Proof. Since

$$|(f * g)'(w)| \leq \left| w^{-2} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k b_k w^{k-1} \right|.$$

From Theorem 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha)) \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})} |a_k| |b_k| \\ & \leq 2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

for $|w| = r < 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |(f * g)'(w)| \geq r^{-2} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |a_k| |b_k| \\ & \geq r^{-2} - \left(\frac{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha))] \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We can do the same thing,

$$|(f * g)'(w)| \leq r^{-2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |a_k| |b_k|$$

$$\leq r^{-2} + \left(\frac{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{\left[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha))\right] \frac{\left(\frac{-t}{4}\right)^k \Gamma\left(u + \frac{h+1}{2}\right) [1+\tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(k+u + \frac{h+1}{2}\right)}} \right).$$

We have now completed the proof of the theorem.

The function $(f * g)(w)$ meets the radii of starlikeness, result convexity, and close-to-convex to convexity criteria, as shown by [18].

Theorem 4.2. Let $(f * g)(w) \in \Sigma(\alpha, \delta, \gamma, \tau, u, h, t)$. Then the function $(f * g)$ is of starlikeness order ε , $(0 \leq \varepsilon < 1)$, in $|w| < r_1$, where

$$r_1(\alpha, \delta, \gamma, \tau, u, h, t)$$

$$= \inf_{k \geq 1} \left\{ \frac{1-\varepsilon}{(k-\varepsilon+2)} \left(\frac{\left[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha)) \right] \frac{\left(\frac{-t}{4}\right)^k \Gamma\left(u + \frac{h+1}{2}\right) [1+\tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(k+u + \frac{h+1}{2}\right)}}{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \right\}.$$

Proof. We must demonstrate this

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{w(f * g)'(w)}{(f * g)(w)} + 1 \right| &\leq 1 - \varepsilon, \\ \left| 1 + \frac{-w^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k b_k w^k}{w^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k w^k} \right| &\leq 1 - \varepsilon \rightarrow . \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

From (14) holds if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1) |a_k| |b_k| |w|^{n+1} \leq (1-\varepsilon) - (1-\varepsilon) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| |b_k| |w|^{n+1}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k-\varepsilon+2)}{1-\varepsilon} |a_k| |b_k| |w|^{k+1} \leq 1. \quad (19)$$

From Theorem 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\left[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha)) \right] \frac{\left(\frac{-t}{4}\right)^k \Gamma\left(u + \frac{h+1}{2}\right) [1+\tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(k+u + \frac{h+1}{2}\right)}}{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha)} \right) |a_k| |b_k| \\ \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

By combining (19) and (20) we get.

$$\frac{(k-\varepsilon+2)}{1-\varepsilon} |w|^{k+1} \leq \left(\frac{[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha))] \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(k+u + \frac{h+1}{2})}}{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha)} \right),$$

that is

$$|w|^{k+1} \leq \frac{1-\varepsilon}{(k-\varepsilon+2)} \left(\frac{[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha))] \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(k+u + \frac{h+1}{2})}}{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha)} \right).$$

Therefore,

$$|w| \leq \left\{ \frac{1-\varepsilon}{(k-\varepsilon+2)} \left(\frac{[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha))] \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(k+u + \frac{h+1}{2})}}{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha)} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{k+1}}.$$

Theorem 4.3. Let $(f * g)(w) \in \sum(\alpha, \delta, \gamma, \tau, u, h, t)$. Then the function $(f * g)$ is of convex order ε , ($0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$), in $|w| < r_1$, where

$$r_2(\alpha, \delta, \gamma, \tau, u, h, t)$$

$$= \inf_{k \geq 1} \left\{ \frac{1-\varepsilon}{k(k-\varepsilon+2)} \left(\frac{[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha))] \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(k+u + \frac{h+1}{2})}}{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha)} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{k+1}}$$

Proof. We must demonstrate this

$$\left| 2 + \frac{w(f * g)''(w)}{(f * g)'(w)} \right| \leq 1 - \varepsilon,$$

$$\left| 2 + \frac{2w^{-2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(k-1)a_k b_k w^{k-1}}{-w^{-2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k b_k w^{k-1}} \right| \leq 1 - \varepsilon. \quad (21)$$

From (17) holds if

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(k+1) |a_k| |b_k| |w|^{k+1}}{1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k |a_k| |b_k| |w|^{k+1}} \leq 1 - \varepsilon,$$

then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(k+2-\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon} |a_k| |b_k| |w|^{k+1} \leq 1. \quad (22)$$

From Theorem 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha))] \left(\frac{-t}{4}\right)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha) \Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})} \right) |a_k| |b_k| \\ & \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

By combining (22) and (23) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{k(k+2-\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon} \right) |w|^{k+1} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha))] \left(\frac{-t}{4}\right)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha) \Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})} \right) \\ & |w|^{k+1} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{k(k+2-\varepsilon)} \right) \left(\frac{[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha))] \left(\frac{-t}{4}\right)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha) \Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & |w| \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{k(k+2-\varepsilon)} \right) \left(\frac{[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha))] \left(\frac{-t}{4}\right)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha) \Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})} \right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, the evidence is now complete.

The close-to-convexity property of the considered subclass functions is demonstrated in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. Let $(f * g)(z) \in \Sigma(\alpha, \delta, \gamma, \tau, u, h, t)$. Then the function $(f * g)$ is of close-to-convex order ε , $(0 \leq \varepsilon < 1)$, in $|z| < r_1$, where

$$r_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau, u, h, t)$$

$$= \inf_{k \geq 1} \left\{ \frac{(|w|^{-2} - (2 - \varepsilon))}{k|w|^{-2}} \left(\frac{[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2y(k-\alpha))] \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})}}{2\delta y(1-\alpha)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \right\}$$

Proof. We must demonstrate this

$$|(f * g)'(w) - 1| \leq 1 - \varepsilon,$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} |(f * g)'(w) - 1| &\leq |w|^{-2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|a_k||b_k||w|^{n-1} - 1 \leq 1 - \varepsilon \\ |(f * g)'(w) - 1| &\leq |w|^{-2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|a_k||b_k||w|^{n-1} \leq 2 - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 2.1, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k||b_k| \leq \frac{2\delta y(1-\alpha)}{[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2y(k-\alpha))] \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})}},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2y(k-\alpha))] \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})}}{2\delta y(1-\alpha)} \right) |a_k||b_k| \\ \leq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Observe that (24) is true if

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k|w|^{k-1-2+2}}{|w|^{-2} - (2 - \varepsilon)} \\ \leq \left(\frac{[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2y(k-\alpha))] \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})}}{2\delta y(1-\alpha)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$|w|^{k+1} \leq \frac{(|w|^{-2} - (2 - \varepsilon))}{k|w|^{-2}} \left(\frac{[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2y(k-\alpha))] \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})}}{2\delta y(1-\alpha)} \right).$$

Hence

$$\leq \left\{ \frac{(|w|^{-2} - (2 - \varepsilon))}{k|w|^{-2}} \left(\frac{[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2y(k-\alpha))] \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})}}{2\delta y(1-\alpha)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \right\}^{|w|}.$$

As a result, the evidence is now complete.

5. Extreme points Theorems

Theorem 5.1. Let $(f * g)_0(w) = \frac{1}{w}$ with $(k \geq 1)$ and

$$(f * g)_k(w) = \frac{1}{w} + \frac{2\delta y(1-\alpha)}{[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2y(k-\alpha))] \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})}} w^k.$$

Then $(f * g) \in \Sigma(\alpha, \delta, y, \tau, u, h, t)$, if and only if it can be expressed in this way

$$(f * g)(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k (f * g)_k(w), \quad (\mu_k \geq 0, \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1). \quad (25)$$

Proof. Suppose $(f * g)$ can be written as in (25). Then

$$(f * g)(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k (f * g)_k(w) = \mu_0 (f * g)_0(w) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k (f * g)_k(w)$$

$$(f * g)_k(w) = \frac{1}{w} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k \frac{2\delta y(1-\alpha)}{[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2y(k-\alpha))] \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})}} w^k.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k \frac{2\delta y(1-\alpha)}{[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2y(k-\alpha))] \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})}} \\ & \times \frac{[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2y(k-\alpha))] \frac{(-t)^k \Gamma(u + \frac{h+1}{2}) [1 + \tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2})}}{2\delta y(1-\alpha)} w^k \\ & = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k - 1 = 1 - \mu_0 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

So by Theorem 2.1 we have $(f * g) \in \Sigma(\alpha, \delta, y, \tau, u, h, t)$.

Conversely, suppose $(f * g) \in \Sigma(\alpha, \delta, \gamma, \tau, u, h, t)$. Since

$$a_k b_k \leq \frac{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha)}{\left[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha))\right] \frac{\left(\frac{-t}{4}\right)^k \Gamma\left(u + \frac{h+1}{2}\right) [1+\tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2}\right)}}, k \geq 1.$$

We set,

$$\mu_k = \frac{\left[(k+1) + \delta((1-k) + 2\gamma(k-\alpha))\right] \frac{\left(\frac{-t}{4}\right)^k \Gamma\left(u + \frac{h+1}{2}\right) [1+\tau(k+1)]^m}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(k+u+\frac{h+1}{2}\right)}}{2\delta\gamma(1-\alpha)} a_k b_k, k \geq 1$$

and $\mu_0 = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k$. Hence,

$$(f * g)(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k (f * g)_k(w) = \mu_0 (f * g)_0(w) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k (f * g)_k(w).$$

As a result, below are the outcomes.

References

1. C. Pommerenke, "On meromorphic starlike functions," *Pacific J. Math* 13, 221-235, 1963.
2. J. Miller, "Convex meromorphic mappings and related functions," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 25, 220-228, 1970.
3. M. L. Mogra, T. R. Reddy and O. P. Juneja, "Meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients," *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 32, 2, 161-176, 1985.
4. A. R. Juma and H. F. Hussain . "Univalence Criteria for Holomorphic Functions Involving Srivastava-Attiya Operator," *In Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1879, 2, 022130, IOP Publishing. 2021.
5. M. K. Aouf and H. Silverman, "Partial sums of Certain meromorphic p-valent functions," *Jipam. J.Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* 7,4, Article 119,7, 2006.
6. R. M. EL –Ashwah, M. K. Aouf and H. M. Zayed, "Certain subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with certain integral operator," *Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Informat.*, 36, 15-30, 2013.
7. A. O. Mostafa, M. K. Aouf, H. M. Zayed and T. Bulboaca, "Convolution conditions for subclasses of meromorphic functions of complex order associated with basic Bessel functions," *J. Egyptian Math. Soc.* 25, 3,286-290, 2017.
8. B. Venkateswarlu, P. T. Reddy and N. Rani, "Certain Subclass of meromorphically uniformly convex functions with," Positive Coefficients. *Mathematica* 61(84), 1, 85-97, 2019.
9. M. I. Hameed, M. H. Ali and B. N. Shihab, "A certain Subclass of Meromorphically Multivalent Q-Starlike Functions Involving Higher-Order Q-Derivatives," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 251-258, 2022.
10. M. I. Hameed, B. N. Shihab and K. A. Jassim, "An application of subclasses of Goodman-Salagean-type harmonic univalent functions involving hypergeometric function," *In AIP Conference Proceedings*, vol. 2398, no. 1, p. 060012, AIP Publishing LLC, 2022.
11. A. Baricz, "Generalized Bessel functions of the first kind," vol. 1994 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
12. M. Hameed and I. Ibrahim, "Some Applications on Subclasses of Analytic Functions Involving Linear Operator," International Conference on Computing and Information Science and Technology and Their Applications (ICCISTA), IEEE, 2019.

13. P. T. Reddy, G. Swapna and B. Venkateswarlu, "Certain subclass of meromorphic functions associated with Bessel function".
14. A. S. Shinde, R. N. Ingle and P. T. Reddy, "On Certain Subclass Of Meromorphic Functions With Positive Coefficients," *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, 10(2), 2021.
15. M. I. Hameed, C. Ozel, A. Al-Fayadh and A.R.S. Juma, "Study of certain subclasses of analytic functions involving convolution operator," *AIP Conference Proceedings*, vol. 2096, no. 1, AIP Publishing LLC, 2019.
16. N. B. Gatti, N. Magesh, , J. Jothibasu and S. Murthy, "On Certain Subclasses of Meromorphic Functions with Positive Coefficients involving Generalized Liu-Srivastava Operator".
17. S. R. Kulkarni, S.S. Joshi,Sou, "On a Subclass Of Meromorphic univalent functions With Positive Coefficients", *J. Indian Acad. Math.*, 24 no. 1,197-205, 2002.
18. M. I. Hameed and B. N. Shihab, "Some Classes of Univalent Function with Negative Coefficients," *In Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 2322, no. 1, p. 012048, IOP Publishing, 2022.